



### **UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS SISTEMA DE BIBLIOTECAS DA UNICAMP REPOSITÓRIO DA PRODUÇÃO CIENTIFICA E INTELECTUAL DA UNICAMP**

**Versão do arquivo anexado / Version of attached file:**

Versão do Editor / Published Version

**Mais informações no site da editora / Further information on publisher's website:** https://bmcbiol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12915-016-0234-9

**DOI: 10.1186/s12915-016-0234-9**

**Direitos autorais / Publisher's copyright statement:**

©2016 by BioMed Central. All rights reserved.

DIRETORIA DE TRATAMENTO DA INFORMAÇÃO

Cidade Universitária Zeferino Vaz Barão Geraldo CEP 13083-970 – Campinas SP Fone: (19) 3521-6493 [http://www.repositorio.unicamp.br](http://www.repositorio.unicamp.br/)

# **BMC Biology**



Detection of pup odors by non-canonical adult vomeronasal neurons expressing an odorant receptor gene is influenced by sex and parenting status

Nakahara et al.





## Detection of pup odors by non-canonical adult vomeronasal neurons expressing an odorant receptor gene is influenced by sex and parenting status

Thiago S. Nakahara<sup>1,2</sup>, Leonardo M. Cardozo<sup>1,5</sup>, Ximena Ibarra-Soria<sup>3</sup>, Andrew D. Bard<sup>3,6</sup>, Vinicius M. A. Carvalho<sup>1,2</sup>, Guilherme Z. Trintinalia<sup>1,2</sup>, Darren W. Logan<sup>3,4</sup> and Fabio Papes<sup>1\*</sup>

#### Abstract

**Background:** Olfaction is a fundamental sense through which most animals perceive the external world. The olfactory system detects odors via specialized sensory organs such as the main olfactory epithelium and the vomeronasal organ. Sensory neurons in these organs use G-protein coupled receptors to detect chemosensory stimuli. The odorant receptor (OR) family is expressed in sensory neurons of the main olfactory epithelium, while the adult vomeronasal organ is thought to express other types of receptors.

Results: Here, we describe Olfr692, a member of the OR gene family identified by next-generation RNA sequencing, which is highly upregulated and non-canonically expressed in the vomeronasal organ. We show that neurons expressing this gene are activated by odors emanating from pups. Surprisingly, activity in Olfr692-positive cells is sexually dimorphic, being very low in females. Our results also show that juvenile odors activate a large number of Olfr692 vomeronasal neurons in virgin males, which is correlated with the display of infanticide behavior. . In contrast, activity substantially decreases in parenting males (fathers), where infanticidal aggressive behavior is not frequently observed.

**Conclusions:** Our results describe, for the first time, a sensory neural population with a specific molecular identity involved in the detection of pup odors. Moreover, it is one of the first reports of a group of sensory neurons the activity of which is sexually dimorphic and depends on social status. Our data suggest that the Olfr692 population is involved in mediating pup-oriented behaviors in mice.

Keywords: Olfaction, Odorant receptor, Pup odors, Sexual dimorphism, Social behavior, Vomeronasal organ

#### Background

One of the fundamental properties of the nervous system in all animal species is its ability to detect and interpret sensory information. Most mammals use olfaction to detect the presence and quality of food, predators, competitors, and potential mates. The olfactory system of mammals evolved several subsystems in the nasal cavity, each with its own sensory organ, molecular receptors, and

pathways in the brain [\[1](#page-19-0)]. The main olfactory epithelium (MOE), regarded as the site of detection for volatile odorants, harbors olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs). Each OSN canonically expresses one gene in the large odorant receptor (OR) gene family [[2](#page-19-0)–[5](#page-19-0)].

Besides the MOE, detection of olfactory stimuli is also accomplished by a second sensory structure in the nose, the vomeronasal organ (VNO) [\[1, 6\]](#page-19-0). The VNO has been extensively implicated in the mediation of a range of instinctive responses triggered by intra- and interspecies olfactory cues [\[7](#page-19-0)], such as male-male aggression [\[8](#page-19-0)], mating and gender discrimination [[9](#page-20-0)–[11](#page-20-0)], the inhibition



© 2016 Nakahara et al. Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver [\(http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/)) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: [papesf@unicamp.br](mailto:papesf@unicamp.br) <sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Genetics and Evolution, Institute of Biology, University of Campinas, Rua Monteiro Lobato, Campinas, SP 13083-862, Brazil Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

of juvenile-oriented sexual behavior [\[12](#page-20-0)], female lordosis sexual behavior [[13\]](#page-20-0), and defensive behavior towards predators [\[14](#page-20-0)]. VNO sensory neurons (VSNs) express receptors in the V1R [[15\]](#page-20-0) or V2R [[16](#page-20-0)–[18\]](#page-20-0) families of vomeronasal receptors (VRs) and in the formyl-peptide receptor family [[19\]](#page-20-0).

Here, we used a combination of next-generation sequencing, molecular biology, and histochemical analyses to show that a gene coding for a receptor in the OR family is highly expressed in a defined and noncanonical subpopulation of adult VNO cells, characterized by the expression of a unique set of molecular markers. We also show that cells expressing this receptor gene, though not responsive to most known intraand interspecies VNO stimuli, are activated by scents from pups. Moreover, this subpopulation is robustly activated in virgin males, whereas activation is low in fathers, virgin females, and mothers. These results indicate that activity in such pup odor-responsive VNO neurons is sexually dimorphic and depends on the animal's social status, suggesting that they may mediate pup-oriented behaviors in adult mice.

#### Results

#### Deep sequencing and quantitative PCR reveal high level expression of Olfr692, an odorant receptor family gene, in the adult VNO

A subset of VSNs from adult mice has been shown to detect volatile odorants [[20](#page-20-0)], ligands usually associated with OSNs and OR receptors in the MOE [\[7](#page-19-0)]. Thus, it is conceivable that some VNO cells may express OR receptors. To investigate the expression of ORs in the adult VNO, we mined Illumina next-generation deep RNA sequencing data from adult VNO samples (initial description and validation of these libraries can be found in [[21](#page-20-0)]).

We found that seven OR genes had mean fragments per kilobase of exon sequence per million fragments (FPKM) values higher than 1.0 in the VNO (Fig. [1a](#page-5-0)). Interestingly, only one of these genes, Olfr692, stands out as being expressed at much higher levels in the VNO (Fig. [1a](#page-5-0)) than in the MOE (Fig. [1b,e\)](#page-5-0), where OR genes are canonically expressed. The remaining six OR genes are either expressed at equivalent levels in the MOE and VNO or have much higher expression in the MOE (Fig. [1a,b,e\)](#page-5-0).

Moreover, the expression of Olfr692 is singularly high in the VNO RNA sequencing libraries, with FPKM values comparable to canonically expressed VR genes in the V1R and V2R families, such as Vmn2r118 (V2R family) and Vmn1r188 (V1R family) (Fig. [1a](#page-5-0)). Olfr692 expression stands out as being higher than the expression of 419 VRs, with only 114 VRs expressed more abun-dantly (Fig. [1f](#page-5-0)). Moreover, the expression of *Olfr692* is 13 times higher than the median VR expression and 1.3

times greater than the mean VR expression. Together, these data suggest that the expression of Olfr692 is significantly high and appreciable in the VNO.

The expression of two other *OR* genes, *Olfr124* and Olfr1509, is also high in the VNO libraries (Fig. [1a,e](#page-5-0)), but these genes are known to be expressed in another chemosensory structure in the nasal cavity, the Septal Organ of Masera (SOM), at very high levels (>50 % and >12 % of SOM cells, respectively) [\[22\]](#page-20-0). Because the VNO libraries we used for RNA sequencing were made from whole VNO preparations, including not only the sensory epithelium, but also progenitor and non-neural supporting cells, underlying glandular tissue, blood vessels, and the lower part of the nasal septum [[21](#page-20-0)], it is possible that a limited fraction of SOM cells may have been included in the RNA used to construct our VNO libraries. This may account for the high FPKM values of some OR genes in the VNO, including Olfr124 and Olfr1509.

Therefore, we performed real-time qPCR to validate the expression of OR genes found in the RNA seq VNO libraries and to assess if they are expressed in the vomeronasal neuroepithelium (Fig. [1g\)](#page-5-0). In this experiment, we analyzed the expression of each of the seven OR genes, comparing a whole VNO prep sample versus a finely dissected VNO epithelium sample. We exercised extra caution during fine dissection of the VNO to avoid contamination with SOM cells in the nasal septum. When a whole VNO sample was under analysis, all seven most highly expressed OR genes in the RNA seq libraries had qPCR expression levels that matched their expression abundance in the RNA sequencing experiments (Fig. [1g](#page-5-0)). In contrast, when we analyzed the expression of such genes in the finely dissected VNO sample, only Olfr692 had a significantly high relative abundance in the VNO epithelium (Fig. [1g\)](#page-5-0). The expression of the remaining OR genes, Olfr124, Olfr1509, Olfr1264, Olfr1512, Olfr78, and the pseudogene Olfr1372, was absent or very low in the VNO epithelium (Fig. [1g\)](#page-5-0).

Together, our RNA sequencing and qPCR data suggest that a few OR genes, notably Olfr692, are expressed in the adult VNO neuroepithelium.

Olfr692 is highly and uniquely expressed in the adult VNO Out of the seven OR genes expressed in the VNO RNA seq libraries, only *Olfr78*, which is expressed at much lower levels than *Olfr692* according to the qPCR data (Fig. [1g\)](#page-5-0), has been previously investigated [\[23\]](#page-20-0). Its expression, along with that of a few other OR genes, was reported to be almost absent in the adult VNO, being virtually restricted to the young, where a small subset of OR genes is expressed by few VNO cells [[23\]](#page-20-0). Therefore, we decided to investigate whether the OR genes identified in our adult VNO RNA sequencing libraries are also



#### <span id="page-5-0"></span>(See figure on previous page.)

Fig. 1 Next generation RNA sequencing and quantitative PCR reveal high and unique expression of an odorant receptor (OR) gene in the mouse vomeronasal organ. (a-c) RNA sequencing expression data for selected genes represented as mean fragments per kilobase of annotated sequence per million reads (FPKM). Error bars are the standard error of the mean (SEM). The first seven OR genes have FPKM >1.0 in the vomeronasal organ (VNO) library; the remaining OR genes have been reported to be expressed in juveniles [\[23\]](#page-20-0). Black bars represent vomeronasal receptor (VR) genes, for comparison. Expression of Olfr692, marked in red, stands out as high in the VNO, higher in the VNO than in the main olfactory epithelium (MOE), and comparable to the expression of VR genes. (a) Adult VNO libraries (n = 6 libraries; each library was from VNOs pooled from three individuals); (b) Adult MOE libraries (n = 6 libraries; each library was from one individual); (c) Juvenile libraries (n = 3 libraries; each library was from VNOs pooled from three to four individuals). (d) Seven OR genes with mean FPKM values >1.0 in adult VNO libraries, showing no difference in expression between males and females. 'mOR name' refers to alternate mOR nomenclature [\[2](#page-19-0)]. Raw counts are number of reads uniquely mapped to genomic model. The right column indicates mean FPKM in the VNO. Chr, mouse genome chromosomal location. OR genes with FPKM values >1.0 are located in distinct chromosomes, suggesting that their expression in the VNO is not related to their genomic position. (e) Comparison between FPKM values for OR genes in the adult MOE (red bars) and VNO (blue bars), illustrating that Olfr692 expression is uniquely VNO-enriched. The x-axis is ordered according to expression level in the MOE. (f) Comparison between FPKM for OR (large diamonds) and VR (small diamonds) genes in the VNO. The two most abundant OR genes, Olfr124 and Olfr692, are labeled. The top part shows the number of VR genes with FPKM values lower or higher than Olfr692 (419 VR genes, including 171 pseudogenes, exhibit lower values; 114 VR genes, including five pseudogenes, exhibit higher values). The x-axis scale is different to the left and right of an interruption at FPKM = 10. (g) Real-time qPCR using TaqMan probes to validate the expression of OR genes which have mean FPKM values >1.0 in the adult VNO RNA seq libraries. The y-axis indicates relative expression level (RQ) as compared to the expression of β-actin. Gray bars indicate expression levels in whole VNO samples and red bars represent the finely dissected VNO neuroepithelium. Error bars are SEM ( $n = 4$  animals, three technical replicates)

expressed in newborn mice. We dissected whole VNOs from P0.5 animals and pooled three to four individuals to construct libraries for RNA sequencing. Most receptors are expressed at reduced levels in VNOs from P0.5 animals (Fig. 1c). Nonetheless, the expression levels across the whole newborn receptor repertoire are correlated with the expression levels in the adult (rho = 0.67,  $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ), suggesting that the distribution observed in adults has already started to be shaped at an early stage. In newborns, we observed expression of the seven ORs previously identified in adults (Fig. 1c).

Notably, however, the Olfr692 gene stands out again due to its significantly lower expression in newborns as compared to adults (Fig. 1a,c): for most OR genes, the expression in the adult VNO libraries is up to three times higher than the expression in newborns, but Olfr692 is exceptional in that its FPKM value in the adult is 26 times greater than in the newborn RNA seq library (Fig. 1a,c). These data show that the Olfr692 gene is differentially expressed in the adult VNO and suggest that this differential expression is much more prominent for this gene than for all other olfactory receptor genes expressed in the vomeronasal system.

#### Olfr692 is uniquely expressed in a defined subpopulation of adult VNO neurons

To investigate the spatial localization of cells expressing OR genes and to confirm which of them are expressed in the VNO sensory epithelium, we performed chromogenic and fluorescent in situ hybridization (ISH) experiments on cryostat VNO sections, using probes specifically designed to discriminate with great accuracy among the closely related genes in the OR family.

Olfr692, the OR gene with the highest expression in the VNO according to the real-time PCR experiments (Fig. 1g), had its expression consistently confirmed in the VNO by ISH: we found Olfr692-positive staining in a defined subpopulation of cells (Fig. [2a,c](#page-6-0)) sparsely distributed within the neuroepithelium in sections across the anteriorposterior axis (the epithelium is evidenced by the expression of Olfactory Marker Protein gene, Omp, in Fig. [2b](#page-6-0)).

In VNOs from adult mice above the age of 3 months, we found  $16.2 \pm 0.5$  *Olfr692-positive cells per histological* section (mean  $\pm$  SEM; n = 48 sections, four sections per mouse; Fig. [2a\)](#page-6-0). Moreover, the number of cells expressing Olfr692 is equivalent to or higher than that of canonical VRs. For example, when we used a probe that specifically recognizes Vmn2r118, a member of the V2R VR gene family, we found  $5.5 \pm 0.5$  Vmn2r118-positive cells per section (mean  $\pm$  SEM; n = 12 sections, two sections per mouse; Fig. [2d](#page-6-0)). Similar results were obtained with probes based on other *VR* genes (Additional file [1](#page-18-0): Figure S1b–d; Additional file [2:](#page-18-0) Dataset S1). Together, these data show that the Olfr692 gene in the OR family, though non-canonically expressed in the VNO, is robustly expressed in this sensory organ, an expression on average comparable to or higher than the expression of its VR genes.

In terms of spatial distribution, Olfr692-positive cells are concentrated in the basal layer of the VNO (Fig. [2e](#page-6-0)), which is characterized by the expression of V2R family receptors and the associated Gαo subunit of heterotrimeric G protein [\[1](#page-19-0)]. Though sparsely distributed across the epithelium (Fig. [2a](#page-6-0)), Olfr692-positive VNO cells are more densely packed than in the MOE, where this OR gene is expressed in the epithelium's zone II (Fig. [2f](#page-6-0); MOE zones were defined according to [\[4](#page-19-0)]).

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

In contrast, Olfr78, the gene with the second highest expression level in our qPCR analysis (Fig. [1g](#page-5-0)), is expressed in only  $3.3 \pm 0.4$  VNO cells per section (mean  $\pm$  SEM; n = 12 sections, two sections per mouse; Additional file [1](#page-18-0): Figure S1e), a much more limited pattern of expression in comparison with Olfr692 (Fig. 2a). This is in keeping with the low reported expression of this OR gene in the adult VNO [\[23\]](#page-20-0). Moreover, Olfr78 expression is concentrated in the apical portion of the chemosensory epithelium (Additional file [1:](#page-18-0) Figure S1f), in agreement with its previously described co-expression with the G protein Gαi2 subunit, a known marker of the VNO apical region [\[23\]](#page-20-0). Expression of Olfr1512 is also limited to a few cells per section (Additional file [1:](#page-18-0) Figure S1g), consistent with its low expression level in both RNA sequencing and qPCR experiments (Fig. [1a,g\)](#page-5-0). Expression of Olfr124 and Olfr1509, OR genes with the first and third highest mean FPKM values in our VNO libraries but no detectable expression in the purified vomeronasal epithelium by qPCR (Fig. [1a,g\)](#page-5-0), was not found in the vomeronasal epithelium by ISH (Additional file [1](#page-18-0): Figure S1h, i), even though the same probes detect an extensive amount of cells in the MOE (Additional file [1](#page-18-0): Figure S1h,j). In agreement with previous reports [[22\]](#page-20-0), expression of Olfr124 and Olfr1509 is robust in the SOM (Additional file [1:](#page-18-0) Figure S1k; see also [\[22](#page-20-0)]). Together, these data indicate that the expression of both OR genes is absent in the VNO and that their presence in the VNO RNA sequencing libraries was probably due to a small number of SOM cells included in the whole VNO RNA preparations.

Our transcriptomic and histological results show that just one out of all OR genes, Olfr692, is expressed in a

<span id="page-7-0"></span>large number of chemosensory cells in the sensory epithelium of the adult mouse VNO, a robust expression comparable to that of other VR genes at the same age.

#### Temporal pattern of Olfr692 expression in the mouse VNO

ISH experiments performed on VNO sections from mice at different ages showed that the Olfr692 gene is expressed in a very small subpopulation of cells in juvenile mice at ages P0, P10 and P20, and in young adult mice at P30 (Fig. 3a). In contrast, the expression increases substantially in older adult animals (P60 animals in Fig. 3a, and animals older than 3 months in Fig. [2a](#page-6-0)). Such pattern of expression is in striking opposition to the temporal expression of the few other OR genes previously studied in the VNO, which have higher expression levels in juveniles (younger than 1 month of age) and very low expression in adults [[23](#page-20-0)]. The expression dynamics of *Olfr692* is also distinct from V2R VR genes, which are first observed at embryonic stage E14 [\[16\]](#page-20-0) and are maintained at high levels throughout postnatal development (exemplified by receptor genes Vmn2r107 and Vmn2r69 in Fig. 3b,c).

Together, these data point to Olfr692 as an OR gene expressed in the VNO epithelium in a developmentally regulated manner, with robust expression in adults older than 2 months, suggesting that it may be functionally relevant in individuals at that age.

#### Molecular characterization of Olfr692-positive cells in the VNO

The ectopic expression of *Olfr692* in the VNO may have one of several meanings: (1) VNO Olfr692-positive cells may represent neurons with the typical molecular phenotype of canonical OR-expressing cells in the MOE (OSNs) but mis-localized to the VNO; (2) VNO Olfr692 positive cells may be regular VSNs, expressing all the typical molecular markers of such chemosensory cell type, but with the aberrant (and possibly non-functional) expression of an OR gene; (3) Olfr692-expressing cells may represent a completely novel subpopulation of sensory cells in the VNO, with its own unique molecular phenotype. In order to discriminate between these possibilities, we set out to molecularly characterize the cells that express OR genes in the adult VNO by double fluorescent ISH with cell type-specific markers.





In contrast, VSNs in the apical zone of the VNO express V1R family receptors, the G protein Gαi2 subunit and the transient receptor potential family member C2 (TrpC2) ion channel. Each VSN in the basal zone expresses one member in clades A, B or D of V2R receptors combined with the expression of clade C V2Rs, along with the G protein Gαo subunit and TrpC2; some basal zone neurons also express MHC class I H2-Mv family molecules [\[26](#page-20-0), [27](#page-20-0)].

We found that Olfr692-positive cells in the VNO coexpress the TrpC2 ion channel (Fig. 4a,b).  $TrpC2$  is also

expressed in a restricted subset of molecularly atypical OSNs [[28\]](#page-20-0), but these do not express Olfr692 (Additional file [3](#page-18-0): Figure S2a), supporting the notion that Olfr692 positive cells in the adult VNO and MOE are molecularly different. Moreover, we found no evidence of CNGA2 and Gαolf expression in the VNO, though both are abundant in the MOE (Additional file [3](#page-18-0): Figure S2b–d). Together, these data show that VNO cells expressing Olfr692 are not merely misplaced OSNs, because they do not express the full complement of OSN markers.

We found that Olfr692-positive vomeronasal cells express Gαo, but not Gαi2 (Fig. 4c,d), consistent with their position in the basal layer of the VNO. It is important to note that such data are to be taken only as part of a molecular characterization of Olfr692-expressing VNO cells, not necessarily implying that the corresponding OR receptor couples with Gαo.





Since Olfr692-expressing cells are located in the basal zone of the VNO, we sought to determine whether they co-express genes for VRs in the V2R family, which are known to be restricted to the basal layer [\[16](#page-20-0)]. If we found evidence for co-expression with V2Rs in these cells, it could in principle suggest that they are canonical VSNs with aberrant expression of one OR gene. In double ISH experiments, we found no substantial overlap between Olfr692 and staining with probes designed to subclades A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, A8, A9, B, and D of V2R receptors (Additional file [4:](#page-18-0) Figure S3; Additional file [5:](#page-18-0) Figure S4a–c), which represent >98 % of all V2R receptors expressed singularly in basal zone VSNs [[29](#page-20-0)] (see also Additional file [6](#page-18-0): Figure S5 and Additional file [2](#page-18-0): Dataset S1 for probe details and validation). These results strongly argue against the hypothesis that Olfr692-expressing VNO cells are canonical VSNs with aberrant OR expression.

Basal layer VSNs also express V2R receptors in clade C, which are combinatorially co-expressed with clade A/B/D receptors [\[30, 31\]](#page-20-0). We identified a clear co-localization of Olfr692 with Vmn2r2 and partial co-localization with Vmn2r1 (Additional file [5](#page-18-0): Figure S4d,e), which are the two clade C members most widely expressed in the VNO [[31](#page-20-0)]. Interestingly, we observed no or little overlap between the expression of Olfr692 and members of the H2-Mv family of non-classical class I MHC molecules (Additional file [5](#page-18-0): Figure S4f,g), which are expressed in a large number of basal zone VSNs [\[26](#page-20-0), [27](#page-20-0)].

Taken together, the experiments above show that Olfr692 is expressed in a subset of basal VNO zone cells that are neither canonical VSNs nor misplaced OSNs. Instead, they co-express a unique set of molecular features (TrpC2/V2R clade C/Gαo-positive and CNGA2/ V2R clades ABD/Gαolf-negative) that suggests they represent a distinct and novel subpopulation of chemosensory cells in the adult mouse vomeronasal system.

#### Investigation of activity in Olfr692 cells after exposure to various odorous stimuli

To identify a source of ligands able to activate Olfr692 expressing cells, we first exposed adult animals to biologically relevant stimuli and then labeled the activated neurons on VNO sections by double ISH with probes for Olfr692 and for the surrogate marker of VNO neuronal activation Egr1 [[32\]](#page-20-0).

Exposure of adult C57BL/6 animals to heterospecific stimuli (odors from predatory species, such as felines, snakes and birds of prey) resulted in no activation in Olfr692-positive cells (Fig. [5a](#page-10-0); see also [\[14](#page-20-0), [32](#page-20-0)]), even when these stimuli were used at high quantities, sufficient to activate the VNO maximally (see [Methods](#page-14-0) for amounts of stimuli used and exposure protocols); likewise, no activity was seen upon exposure to adult male and female conspecific odors (Fig. [5b](#page-10-0)–e), nor to purified aliphatic acid odorants known to be detected by OR receptors similar to Olfr692 [\[33\]](#page-20-0) (see Additional file [7](#page-19-0): Table S1 for quantification of activation in *Olfr692* cells, and Additional file [8:](#page-19-0) Figure S6a,b).

Additionally, we conducted a comprehensive in vitro screening among a variety of 70 organic candidate Olfr692 ligands (Additional file [8:](#page-19-0) Figure S6c). Nine chemicals generated responses that were initially suggestive of receptor-mediated activation in Olfr692-expressing Hana3a heterologous cells (Additional file [8](#page-19-0): Figure S6c; [[34\]](#page-20-0)), but on further investigation we found no evidence of statistically significant dose–dependent responses (Additional file [8](#page-19-0): Figure S6d).

These experiments suggest that the function of Olfr692-expressing cells may not be related to the generation of known VNO-mediated defensive, sexual, or territorial behaviors [\[7](#page-19-0)–[9, 14\]](#page-20-0).

#### Olfr692-expressing cells are activated by pup odors

Pup odors have recently been shown to activate vomeronasal neurons in vivo [[34\]](#page-20-0), possibly mediating behaviors towards the young. Since Olfr692 is expressed at very low levels during development and infancy but possesses robust expression in adults above the age of 2 months, we hypothesized that the Olfr692 cells may mediate chemical communication between juveniles and adults.

We assayed the activation of Olfr692 cells in adult C57BL/6 mice exposed to pup odors, in several behavioral contexts; each exposure was to one or two C57BL/6 same-strain juvenile mice (P0.5–P8.5; male or female), for 45 min. Because father and mother mice are constantly exposed to pup odors, they could show desensitization of OSNs tuned to detect juvenile stimuli. Therefore, we first exposed virgin (nulliparous) adults to alien juveniles. Strikingly, when sexually naive (virgin) adult males were exposed to same-strain (C57BL/6) pups (P0–P8.5), they showed a very robust activation of Olfr692-positive VNO cells  $(27.4 \pm 4.0 \% \text{ of all } Olfr692 \text{ cells per section}; \text{ n = 20}$ mice, two sections per individual; Fig. [6b,k\)](#page-11-0). In striking contrast, when nulliparous (virgin) females were exposed to same-strain juveniles of either sex, virtually no Olfr692 positive VNO cells were activated (Fig. [6d,k](#page-11-0); Egr1 counts in Additional file [7:](#page-19-0) Table S1), even though the females actively investigated the juvenile subjects (Fig. [7a](#page-12-0)). Importantly, the number of Olfr692-expressing cells in wild-type C57BL/6 mice is equivalent in virgin males  $[14.8 \pm 1.0]$ Olfr692-positive cells per section ( $n = 40$  sections, two sections per individual)] and virgin females  $[14.7 \pm 1.0 \text{ (n = 19)}]$ sections, three to four sections per individual)] (Fig. [6k](#page-11-0), right graph). Together, these data show that VNO cells that express Olfr692 are activated differently in males and females.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

To ensure that the activation seen in males exposed to alien pups is not due to odors derived from the pup's mothers, we exposed C57BL/6 male mice to lactating females or to scented bedding of lactating females. We observed only a very limited number of cells activated in the VNO, and these showed very little overlap with the expression of Olfr692 (Fig. [6e](#page-11-0)). Similarly, although we observed a small subpopulation of cells in adult males activated by milk extracted from lactating females, these cells do not overlap with those expressing Olfr692 (Fig. [6e](#page-11-0)'; Additional file [7:](#page-19-0) Table S1).

Moreover, to ensure that activation in Olfr692 cells is not due to odors released by the pup as a consequence of its interaction with the adult male, nor due to chemosignals detected by the adult during the act of biting the pup (blood-derived ligands), we exposed male adults to ligands washed off the pups (pup wash) and to pups placed inside a plastic capsule bearing 4-mm wide holes, which allowed the adult to approach the pup without being able to bite it. In both cases, we observed robust activity in Olfr692 VNO neurons (Additional file [9](#page-19-0): Figure S7; see [Methods](#page-14-0) section for details). These data

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

in adult C57BL/6 males (right); exposure to MBP, the purification label for ESP22, does not activate the VNO (left). (k) Quantification of labeled cells in experiments shown in a-h. Left panel: percentage of Olfr692 labeled cells per section that are also Egr1-positive (n is the number of mice; \*  $P = 0.0001$ , two-sample Welch's t-test assuming unequal variances,  $t = 5.136$ ; \*\*  $P = 0.005$ , Welch's t-test,  $t = 4.407$ ; see also Additional file [7:](#page-19-0) Table S1 for percentage of Egr1-positive cells among all counted Olfr692 labeled cells). Middle panel: number of Egr1/Olfr692 doubly labeled cells per VNO section (n is the number of mice; \*\*\*  $P = 0.02$ , two-sample Welch's t-test,  $t = 2.474$ ; \*\*\*\*  $P = 0.02$ , Welch's t-test,  $t = 2.509$ ). Right panel: total number of Olfr692-positive cells per VNO section (n is the number of sections; n.s. = statistically non-significantly different, two-sample t-test,  $P = 0.461$ ,  $t = 0.099$ ). Microscopy images are representative from the set of scored sections indicated in Additional file [7](#page-19-0): Table S1. Quantification of activation in all experiments is detailed in Additional file [7](#page-19-0): Table S1. lu, VNO lumen. Scale bar = 100 μm. In graphs, mean ± SEM

prove that aggressive pup-adult physical interaction is not needed for the pup-emanating odors to activate Olfr692 cells in the adult VNO (see also [[35\]](#page-20-0)).

Together, we confirm that the VNO is capable of detecting odors from young conspecific individuals [[35\]](#page-20-0), and show that the Olfr692-expressing neural subpopulation is involved in this detection process. Even though the Olfr692 neurons are numerically equivalent in both sexes, their response to pup odors is sexually dimorphic. This is, to our knowledge, the first documented case of sexual dimorphism in the activity of a mammalian chemosensory subpopulation expressing a defined receptor.

#### Activation of Olfr692-expressing cells depends on the animal's social status

A number of pup-oriented behaviors may be triggered by the VNO. A recent study has shown that the VNO mediates the inhibition of adult sexual behavior towards pups via detection of the ESP22 peptide, secreted by the lacrimal gland of juveniles [[12\]](#page-20-0). However, we did not detect activation of the Olfr692-positive VNO population in adult animals after exposure to the recombinant version of ESP22 swabbed onto a juvenile from the C3H strain (which does not express ESP22 naturally) (Fig. 6f ).

Other studies reported that the VNO is required for parental behaviors [[36](#page-20-0)]. When we exposed parenting

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

behavior is also shown (bottom, left). 'O' indicates no episodes of the measured behavior. (b) Number of animals which exhibited at least one episode of the measured behavior (pup-directed aggression or grooming) in wild-type (WT) C57BL/6 or TrpC2-/- animals. '1/9' indicates that one animal displayed the behavior in a sample of n = 9. (c, d) Olfr692 cells are rarer in the VNO of TrpC2-/- mice and are not activated by juvenile odors (d). Iu, VNO lumen. Scale bar = 100  $\mu$ m. In graphs, mean  $\pm$  SEM

males (fathers) to C57BL/6 alien pups (juveniles collected from other breeding cages), we observed very little activation of *Olfr692*-expressing cells  $(3.1 \pm 0.8 \% \text{ of}$ all *Olfr692-positive cells per section*;  $n = 6$  mice, eight sections per mouse; Fig. [6h,k](#page-11-0)), in contrast to the robust percentage of activated *Olfr692* cells  $(27.4 \pm 4.0 \%)$  observed in virgin, sexually naive male individuals (Fig. [6b](#page-11-0)). Even though activity is distinct between virgin and parenting males, the number of Olfr692 cells in fathers  $(12.9 \pm 0.6 \text{ O} \text{L})$  cells per section; n = 48 sections, eight sections per individual) is similar to that seen in virgin males (Fig. [6k](#page-11-0), right graph). Strikingly, these results suggest that the activity in the adult VNO Olfr692 population is dependent on the animal's social/ parenting status.

In mothers, the overall number of activated cells in the VNO when animals were exposed to alien pups was appreciable (Fig. [6j](#page-11-0)), but the overlap with the expression of Olfr692 was very small  $(2.5 \pm 0.8 \%$  of all Olfr692-positive cells per section;  $n = 4$  mice, six to eight sections per mouse). Interestingly, we found more Olfr692-positive cells in mothers than in males or virgin females  $(21.9 \pm 1.3)$ Olfr692-positive cells per section;  $n = 28$  sections, six to eight sections per individual; Fig. [6k](#page-11-0), right graph).

Finally, we investigated possible behavioral consequences of Olfr692-expressing cell activation in the VNO. We found that the high activity in *Olfr692* cells in virgin males is positively correlated with the display of extremely potent aggressive behavior and absence of pup-retrieval parenting behavior towards alien juveniles (Fig. 7a,b). In contrast, females and parenting males, in which activation of Olfr692 cells is low, do not exhibit aggressive bouts to alien pups (Fig. 7a,b; see also [\[36](#page-20-0)–[40](#page-20-0)]). The correlation between high activity in Olfr692-positive VNO cells and the

display of pup-oriented aggression together with absence of pup-directed care suggests that such sensory population may be involved in mediating parental behaviors. This possibility would be further strengthened by the observation that mice without a functional VNO show impairment in these behaviors. In fact, in our paradigm, we observed changes in pup-directed behaviors, such as impaired pup-directed aggression and more pup retrieval parenting behavior, in animals with genetic ablation of the VNO by a null mutation in the  $TrpC2$  gene  $(TrpC2-/-;$ Fig. [7a,b](#page-12-0)). Additionally, we saw no activation of Olfr692 expressing VNO neurons in TrpC2-/- sexually naive adult males exposed to wild-type alien pups (Fig. [7d](#page-12-0)), in contrast to the robust activity seen in wild-type adult males (Fig. [6b](#page-11-0)). Similar behavioral results were obtained by groups working with sexually naive males subjected to surgical removal of the VNO [\[35\]](#page-20-0) or with VNO genetic ablation [\[36\]](#page-20-0).

Together, our data show that Olfr692-positive cells are highly activated by pup odors in infanticidal virgin wildtype males, while activity and behavior are lost when the VNO is held non-functional by genetic ablation. We hypothesize that the pup odor-responsive sensory population expressing the Olfr692 gene mediates pup-oriented behaviors like infanticidal aggression or parental care. In the future, further experiments on Olfr692-/- knockout mice will allow us to test this hypothesis.

#### **Discussion**

In this paper, we describe an atypical subpopulation of neurons in the VNO, one of the olfactory sensory structures, that expresses a specific gene in the OR family, Olfr692. We found an extensive number of *Olfr692-positive cells* in VNOs from adult mice, but expression is virtually absent in juveniles (Figs. [1](#page-5-0), [2,](#page-6-0) [3](#page-7-0)). This expression pattern is distinct from those of VR genes, which are first expressed in embryos [[15](#page-20-0), [16](#page-20-0)], and is also different from the few ORs reported in the VNO, which are mostly expressed in juveniles [[23](#page-20-0)]. Moreover, Olfr692-expressing cells are Gαolf/ CNGA-negative, TrpC2/Gαo-positive, and located in the basal zone of the VNO. The robust expression of Olfr692 in the adult VNO and co-expression with specific molecular markers suggest that the VNO sensory population expressing such gene is distinct from previously described VSN categories.

Olfr692 is, to our knowledge, the first OR gene shown to be expressed almost exclusively in adults, suggesting a critical role at this stage in the life cycle. Interestingly, Olfr692 is differentially expressed between the two main olfactory sensory organs, having a very low expression level in the MOE, but a surprisingly high expression level in the VNO (Fig. [1](#page-5-0)), suggesting that the Olfr692 expressing population modulates instinctive behaviors mediated by the VNO.

Which stimuli activate the Olfr692-expressing VNO population? Known vomeronasal ligands include urinederived small organic molecules [\[20, 41\]](#page-20-0), sulfated steroids [\[32](#page-20-0), [42](#page-20-0)], MHC peptides [[43\]](#page-20-0), ESP peptides [\[12](#page-20-0), [13](#page-20-0)], and conspecific or heterospecific small proteins [\[8](#page-19-0), [14](#page-20-0)]. Moreover, a fraction of VNO neurons respond to small organic volatile odorants in vitro [\[20](#page-20-0), [41](#page-20-0)]. It is possible that such detection may involve the cells expressing Olfr692, since the OR family is traditionally associated with the detection of volatile odorants.

In this paper, we have evaluated the activation of the Olfr692-positive VNO population after exposure of animal subjects to biologically relevant odor sources. We show that Olfr692-positive cells in the adult VNO are activated by pup odors (Fig. [6\)](#page-11-0). Strikingly, this activation is sexually dimorphic: even though the number of Olfr692-positive cells is equivalent in males and females, the number of activated cells in virgin females is very low, while virgin males exhibit robust activation of Olfr692-positive cells after exposure to pups (Fig. [6](#page-11-0)). This is the first reported case of sexual dimorphism in activity of a sensory population expressing a specific olfactory receptor in mammals.

Additionally, we show that mothers and fathers have surprisingly low activation of Olfr692-expressing neurons overall, even though cells expressing this receptor are present in equivalent numbers in virgin and parenting individuals (Fig. [6\)](#page-11-0). In comparison with the large number of active cells seen in virgin males, these results indicate that activity depends on the animal's social and parenting status, probably modulated at the sensory interface by the individual's internal hormonal state. A similar phenomenon was recently observed for another subgroup of VSNs, involved in detecting odors from opposite sex individuals, which was reported to be modulated by steroid hormones in mice [\[44](#page-20-0)].

Which behaviors might be mediated by the Olfr692expressing population? The VNO mediates a range of instinctive behaviors, including individual identity recognition [[45](#page-20-0)], aggression [[8](#page-19-0)], and interspecies communication [\[14](#page-20-0)]. Since Olfr692 is expressed differentially between juveniles and adults, it is conceivable that it mediates adult-specific behaviors. We did not find evidence of involvement in sexual behaviors (Fig. [5](#page-10-0)); instead, we suggest that Olfr692 expressing cells mediate adult behaviors towards the young.

We found robust activation of Olfr692-positive VNO cells in sexually naive male adults exposed to alien pups (Fig. [6\)](#page-11-0), a context in which strong aggressive behavior is displayed towards juveniles (Fig. [7](#page-12-0)). Interestingly, little discernible activation of Olfr692 cells is found in virgin females exposed to alien pups or in mothers and fathers (Fig. [6](#page-11-0)), where aggression is not present (Fig. [7](#page-12-0)). The possibility that Olfr692-positive vomeronasal neurons are involved in aggressive behavior towards pups finds

<span id="page-14-0"></span>support in previous data showing that the VNO detects juvenile odors and mediates infanticidal behavior [[35](#page-20-0), [36](#page-20-0)]. Infanticide has been documented in virgin male mice of many strains, including C57BL/6 (up to 70 % infanticide rate) [\[39\]](#page-20-0), and this phenomenon has been suggested to be adaptive because survival of a male's own biological offspring at the expense of alien, potentially competing, offspring is evolutionarily beneficial.

Alternatively, Olfr692-expressing VNO neurons might mediate the inhibition of parenting behaviors in virgin males. Interestingly, males with surgical or genetic ablation of the VNO exhibit significantly more episodes of pup retrieval, pup grooming and nest building than control animals [[35](#page-20-0), [36](#page-20-0)].

Because we observed robust activity in Olfr692-positive neurons in non-fathers but very low activity in parenting fathers (Fig. [6](#page-11-0)), a third possibility is that the Olfr692-expressing neurons mediate the interplay between aggressive and parental behaviors; this model concurs with the observation that aggressive C57BL/6 virgin males exhibit a switch to parenting behaviors after sexual experience, even towards alien pups [[40](#page-20-0)]. Such behavioral switch has been hypothesized to be mediated by olfaction [\[46](#page-20-0)]. Moreover, manipulation of activity in neurons in the medial preoptic area or the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis in the brain, which receive functional inputs from the VNO, has been shown to lead to a switch between aggressive and parenting behaviors in males and females [[35](#page-20-0), [36](#page-20-0), [47](#page-20-0)].

Further investigation on knockout mice carrying null mutations in the Olfr692 gene will be important to provide evidence that the Olfr692 receptor detects pup odors, and to elucidate the nature of the behavior mediated by the Olfr692-expressing sensory neurons.

#### Conclusions

Most animal species use olfaction to appropriately perceive and respond to the external world. Among the many detected odors are those able to elicit instinctive behaviors, such as pheromones. Knowledge on the mechanisms animals use to sense such cues is key to understanding animal behavior, population dynamics, life cycle, natural history, and evolution. Here, we described a non-canonical subgroup of OSNs, located in the specialized VNO. These neurons unusually express a receptor gene in the OR family, which is typically found in another olfactory structure in the nose, the main olfactory epithelium. These cells seem to be non-canonical because they express a unique complement of molecular markers. Importantly, we found that pup odors activate these neurons. Moreover, activation is sexually dimorphic, because it is seen only in adult males exposed to pups, a rare case of sex difference in olfactory organ activation. Strikingly, activity also depends on the animal's social and parenting status: robust activity is seen only in virgin adult males exposed to pups, a situation in which potent aggressive (infanticidal) behavior is triggered, and not in parenting adults.

We anticipate that our findings will shed light onto some outstanding questions in sensory biology, including the molecular details of how pup-derived odors are recognized by specific receptors to trigger instinctive responses in adults. Although the involvement of olfaction and the vomeronasal system in parental behaviors has been previously suggested, no specific population of pup-detecting olfactory cells had been identified, a gap of knowledge that is now filled by the present study. Once the participation of the Olfr692 population in the regulation (triggering/inhibition) of pup-oriented behaviors in adults is established, pup-derived olfactory cues purified based on our work will be important to better study this behavioral phenomenon. How do the OR-expressing cells mediate the interplay between parental and infanticide behaviors? Why is infanticide common in so many animal species? How important is olfaction in the generation of such responses? Our findings may fuel future research to understand the molecular and neural mechanisms behind these questions.

#### **Methods**

#### Mice

Animals were 2- to 4-month-old male mice, unless otherwise noted.  $TrpC2+/+$  and  $TrpC2-/-$  littermates were obtained from heterozygous mating couples, which were produced by backcrossing the TrpC2-/- knockout line [\[9\]](#page-20-0) into the C57BL/6 background for at least 10 generations. Juveniles used in Figs. [6](#page-11-0) and [7](#page-12-0) were P0.5 to P8.5 C57BL/6 pups, except in Fig. [6f](#page-11-0), which required the use of pups in the C3H strain. Animals used in this study were obtained directly from our vivarium facility, and procedures were carried out in accordance with Animal Protocol no. 1883-1, approved on June 2009 by the Institute of Biology's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Committee for Ethics in Animal Use in Research), at the University of Campinas. This protocol follows the guidelines established by the National Council for Animal Experimentation Control (CONCEA-Brazil).

#### RNA sequencing and gene annotation

Whole adult VNO and MOE RNA sequencing have been previously described [\[21](#page-20-0)]. For the newborn juvenile data, the whole VNO was dissected from P0.5 C57BL/6 J animals (of both sexes) and the tissue from three to four animals was pooled for each sample and stored in RNAlater (Qiagen). RNA was extracted using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) with on-column DNAse digestion. A fragment range of 200–300 nt was selected from mRNA prepared for sequencing using the TruSeq RNA sample preparation kit

(Illumina). Samples were multiplexed together and sequenced on one lane on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 to generate 100 bp paired-end reads; on average, each sample yielded  $59.85 \pm 6.02$  million fragments. Data processing was done as previously published [\[21\]](#page-20-0). Briefly, reads were aligned to the GRCm38 mouse reference genome and the number of fragments uniquely aligned to each gene was counted using the HTSeq package; the annotation used was from the Ensembl mouse genome database, version 68 ([http://jul2012.archive](http://jul2012.archive/). ensembl.org/info/data/ftp/index.html). Counts were then normalized for depth of sequencing and gene length to obtain FPKM values [[48\]](#page-20-0). Multi-mapping reads were not included in the FPKM calculations. Sequencing data are available in the European Nucleotide Archive under accession PRJEB1607.

#### TaqMan qRT-PCR

RNAs from MOE and VNO were extracted from four individual male, 8-week-old C57BL/6 J mice. TaqMan probes were used to assess gene expression of target OR genes, which was performed on a 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Mean cycle threshold (Ct) values from three technical replicates were each normalized to βactin expression, using the ΔCt method. Relative quantity (RQ) values were calculated using the formula  $RQ = 2^{-\Delta Ct}$ . The TaqMan probes used were: Mm00529996\_s1 (Olfr124), Mm00526312\_s1 (Olfr692), Mm00451556\_s1 (Olfr1509), Mm00498747\_s1 (Olfr1264), Mm00729160\_s1 (Olfr1512), Mm02015876\_s1 (Olfr1372-ps1), and Mm00453733\_s1 (Olfr78).

#### In situ hybridization (ISH)

For the design of cRNA probes to V2R VR genes, we investigated whether different genes harbor specific regions anywhere in the coding or non-coding regions. However, nucleotide and protein similarities among the members of each clade were found to be very high (>80 %), though members from different clades usually share less than 75 % nucleotide sequence identity (see also Additional file [2:](#page-18-0) Dataset S1; and Additional file [6](#page-18-0): Figure S5). These similarity levels are constant throughout the entire gene sequence, including exons, introns, and untranslated regions. For each clade, we chose probes based on one or two receptors. For each V2R gene, a probe (length 1–1.2 kb) was designed based on the 1.5 kb region starting at the translation initiation codon. Each V2R receptor probe consistently labels the same subset of vomeronasal neurons, as judged by costaining with probes for the same receptor labeled with different haptens (Additional file [6](#page-18-0): Figure S5a). Usually, a probe based on one V2R gene in a particular subclade A was able to label most VSNs expressing receptors in that subclade if they all share more than 80 % similarity

(Additional file [6](#page-18-0): Figure S5b–d). Occasionally, two probes were used to cover cells expressing all receptors in a subclade, if some of its receptors share less than 80 % similarity (Additional file [6:](#page-18-0) Figure S5e). Probes for distinct subclades in clade A do not produce significant overlap (Additional file [6](#page-18-0): Figure S5f,g). We used distinct probes for each member in the V2R C family. For the neuronal marker Egr1, we used three non-overlapping 1 kb probes spanning the entire coding sequence, as previously published [[32](#page-20-0)]. Oligonucleotides used as primers to amplify these and other target genes can be found in Additional file [2](#page-18-0): Dataset S1.

Each cRNA probe was produced with rNTPs labeled with haptens DNP, FLU, and/or DIG (Roche) from fragments cloned in pGEM-T-Easy vector (Promega), using SP6 or T7 RNA polymerases (Roche). Slides containing 16-μm cryostat coronal VNO sections were air-dried for 10 minutes, followed by fixation with 4 % paraformaldehyde for 20 min, and treated with 0.1 M HCl for 10 min, 0.1 %  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  for 30 min, and 250 mL of 0.1 M triethanolamine (pH 8.0) containing 1 mL of acetic anhydride for 10 min, with gentle stirring. Slides were always washed twice in 1× PBS between incubations. Hybridization was then performed with DNP  $(1 \mu g/mL)$  or DIG (600 ng/mL) labeled cRNA probes at 58 °C in hybridization solution (50 % formamide, 10 % dextran sulfate, 600 mM NaCl, 200 μg/mL yeast tRNA, 0.25 % SDS, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1× Denhardt's solution, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0) for 16 h. Slides were washed once in 2× SSC, once in 0.2× SSC and once in 0.1× SSC at 60 °C (30 min, 20 min and 20 min, respectively), followed by a quick incubation in  $0.1\times$  SSC at room temperature. Slides were then permeabilized in 1× PBS, 0.1 % Tween-20 for 10 min, and washed twice in TN buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl) for 5 min at room temperature, followed by blocking in TNB buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05 % blocking reagent (Perkin Elmer)), and incubation overnight at 4 °C with rabbit anti-DNP (Invitrogen) primary antibody diluted 1:600 in TNB buffer. Signal development proceeded with the tyramide signal amplification kit (Perkin Elmer), following the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, slides were incubated in tyramide-biotin (1:50 in amplification diluent with  $0.0015$  % H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Perkin Elmer)) for 15 min, followed by incubation in streptavidin-HRP (1:100 in TNB) for 1 h and incubation in tyramide-Alexa 546 (1:100 in amplification dilutent with 0.0015 %  $H_2O_2$  (Life technologies)) for 15 min. Prior to each incubation, slides were washed six times with TNT buffer for 5 min under mild agitation. Sections were then treated with 3 %  $H_2O_2$  in 1× PBS for 1 h to block peroxidases from the first signal development. Slides were then blocked in TNB for 90 min, followed by incubation overnight at 4 °C with anti-DIG-POD (Roche) diluted in TNB (1:400). Signal development for DIG probe

was performed using tyramide signal amplification kit (Perkin Elmer) and tyramide-Alexa 488 dye. Samples were counter-stained with To-Pro 3 nuclear stain (Invitrogen) diluted 1:1,000 in  $1 \times$  PBS, washed twice in  $1 \times$  PBS and mounted with ProLong Gold (Invitrogen).

For counting cells in the apical or basal zones of the VNO (Fig. [2e](#page-6-0) and Additional file [1:](#page-18-0) Figure S1f), a line dividing the VNO epithelium in half was manually drawn onto each VNO section used for counting and the position of each stained cell was computed. This approach ignores the fact that the real dividing line between both zones is circumvoluted, but was used here as a first approximation, in combination with double ISH experiments with G protein zonal markers.

For some double ISH, we combined chromogenic in situ detection for receptor genes (V2R receptors in Additional file [4](#page-18-0): Figure S3; Additional file [5](#page-18-0): Figure S4a,b, and Egr1 in Fig. [6e](#page-11-0)') with fluorescent in situ detection for Olfr692, because chromogenic development is more sensitive, ensuring that the full complement of positive cells was unequivocally labeled. Since the chromogenic stain may cause blocking and quenching of underlying fluorescence, we used confocal microscopy to make sure that all fluorescently-labeled neurons were visualized, even in the presence of purple precipitate from the chromogenic detection phase. Moreover, we conducted a control experiment with two probes for the same gene, visualized concomitantly with fluorescent and chromogenic ISH, to confirm that no quenching of fluorescence was occurring due to the colored precipitate from chromogenic detection (Additional file [5:](#page-18-0) Figure S4c). The image shown in Fig. [6e](#page-11-0)' is false colored to represent the chromogenic signal as red and *Olfr692* fluorescence as green.

For double Egr1/Olfr692 ISH experiments, it is important to note that the number of Egr1-positive cells is to be taken as the minimum estimate of the real number of active Olfr692 cells, because Egr1 is a surrogate marker of vomeronasal neuron activation, and its mRNA appears in the cell body's cytosol during the 20–50 minute window after the onset of ligand detection, being completely degraded after 1 h from the onset of stimulation. Therefore, it is possible that some Olfr692 cells may have detected its ligand at the beginning of the 45 min exposure period, resulting in robust expression of Egr1 at the time the animals were sacrificed; other Olfr692 cells, on the other hand, may have detected the stimulus at the end of the exposure window and therefore did not have enough time to express *Egr1* mRNA. Moreover, the Olfr692 sensory subpopulation may be composed of mature and immature cells, and since we are detecting the expression of Olfr692 mRNA, it is possible that not all Olfr692-positive cells are functionally mature and capable of detecting the pup stimuli. These arguments indicate that the real percentage of Olfr692 cells activated by

pup odors may be higher than the percentage estimated by *Egr1* staining.

#### Stimuli

Cat odor was obtained by rubbing a medical gauze against the fur of a domestic cat, particularly around the neck region, which is constantly licked by the subject [[14\]](#page-20-0). For snake odor, we used 1 g of stimulus corresponding to around four  $5 \times 5$  cm pieces of shed skin. Fifty milliliters of scented bedding (fine wood chips) were used as male and female mice odor stimuli. Control mice were exposed to clean bedding. For collection of milk, lactating females older than 3 months were anesthetized and injected with 3U oxytocin supplemented with 15– 20 μL of 1 mg/mL solution of metochlopramide chloridrate (Maxeran; Sanofi-Aventis). Subjects were positioned belly up and the two front nipples were connected to a handmade suckling device controlled by a vacuum source. Milk collected on the tubing was dried and rehydrated in 0.5 M EDTA in  $1 \times$  PBS to create a 1:2 milk suspension. Subjects were exposed to 500 μL of this solution, and controls were exposed to EDTA solution alone. Octanoic, nonanoic, decanoic, and stearic acids (Sigma) were prepared as 100 mM stocks in mineral oil, and subjects were exposed to 500 μL of either a 1 mM or a 100 mM solution prepared in the same vehicle. For ESP22 peptide, the subject was exposed to 15- to 18-day-old juveniles from the C3H strain that had been swabbed with 10 μg of recombinant protein (as fusion with maltose-binding protein, MBP) on its back; C3H juveniles swabbed with MBP or C57BL/6 juveniles were used as control stimuli. In Figs. [6](#page-11-0) and [7](#page-12-0), we used C57BL/6 3- to 4-month-old mice exposed to one or two P0.5–P8.5 C57BL/6 pups for 45 min. Most of these experiments were conducted with P0 juveniles, where sexing by visual inspection is difficult; therefore, male and female newborns were chosen at random from a large set of pups from different breeding cages. For experiments in Additional file [9:](#page-19-0) Figure S7a, pup wash was collected by placing two P0.5–P2.5 C57BL/6 pups head-up in a 50 mL conical tube containing  $\sim$ 2 mL of warm 1 $\times$  PBS, for 30 min, followed by washing the pups with a pipette, avoiding the head area; for each 3-month-old C57BL/6 subject, exposure was to 1 mL of this pup wash solution, deposited on gauze, for 45 min. For experiments in Additional file [9](#page-19-0): Figure S7b, two pups were placed inside  $a$  5  $\times$  10 cm plastic capsule bearing 4 mm holes all around, to allow adult animals to approach the pups without biting them; for each 3-month-old C57BL/6 subject, exposure was to two such capsules, for 45 min.

Whenever possible, olfactory stimuli were presented in the same form and amount, such as equal volumes of scented bedding or equal amounts of scented gauze. For all stimuli deposited on gauzes, the gauze was unscented in a desiccator under vacuum overnight before adding the

stimulus. All stimuli (solid or liquid deposited on gauze) were attached to 'binder clips' to visually confirm their position and prevent the spreading of stimuli in the cage. Each exposure to potential odor ligands proceeded for 45 min before animals were euthanized for VNO dissection.

#### Recombinant ESP peptide expression

The ESP22 peptide expression vector, gently provided by Dr. Stephen Liberles, is based on pMAL-c5x [[13](#page-20-0)], which allows expression of ESP22 as a fusion protein with MBP. Protein was eluted from an amylose affinity resin using maltose and then exchanged into  $1 \times PBS$  using a YM10 column prior to exposures. Recombinant MBP was used as a control.

#### Functional heterologous assays

First, the tested OR genes were amplified from genomic DNA and cloned into pGEM-T-Easy Vector (Promega). PCR was then used to insert EcoRI and NotI restriction sites, which were then used to transfer the OR gene to pCI vector (containing the first 20 amino acids of rhodopsin 'Rho' tag). Hana3A cells, derived from HEK293, constitutively express RTP1L, RTP2, and REEP1, which are involved in trafficking of the receptor to the extracellular membrane, and Gαolf [\[24](#page-20-0)]. In this cell line, ligand detection leads to an increase in the intracellular concentration of cAMP; this messenger is then assayed based on its effect on a second construct bearing a cAMP-responsive promoter driving the expression of secreted alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) [\[34\]](#page-20-0). Prior to the experiments, cells were maintained in Minimal Essential Medium with Earle's Salts supplemented with Lglutamine (Invitrogen), 10 % FBS and penicillin, streptomycin, and amphotericin, and split 1:20 every 3–4 days. Fifty thousand Hana3A cells were placed in each well of a 96-well plate in a total volume of 200 μL MEM with 10 % FBS and, after 24 hours, were transfected with the following plasmids using Lipofectamine2000 (0.3 μL/well in 200 μL MEM; Invitrogen): pCI-Rho-OR (20 ng/well), RTP1S (another accessory factor which aids trafficking of the receptor to the cell membrane, 20 ng/well), and pCRE-SEAP, which expresses secreted alkaline phosphatase using the cAMP-response element promoter (20 ng/well, Clontech). After 18–24 hours, the transfection medium was removed using a multi-channel aspirator, and replaced, for 14–18 hours, with serial dilutions in half-log steps of an odorant diluted with MEM, followed by 2-hour incubation at 70 °C to lyse the cells. The plates were then left to equilibrate to room temperature. Fifty microliters from each well containing cell lysate were transferred to a new 96-well plate, to which 50 μL of 1.2 mM 4-MUP in 2 M diethanolamine were added. The plates were then incubated at room temperature for 30–45 minutes. Fluorescence was measured using a PHERAStar Plus plate reader

(BMG Labtech), with a filter unit for an excitation wavelength of 360 nm, and an emission wavelength of 450 nm. In order to compare responses between plates and receptor genes, SEAP fluorescence values were normalized to the fluorescence of wells containing non-stimulated cells ('foldchange activation').

#### Behavioral analyses

To ensure the identification of instinctive behaviors, animals had no previous exposure to odors from other animal species, and subjects exposed to conspecific chemosignals were kept individually caged for at least 4 days prior to the experiment. All subjects were exposed to odor, monitored for behavior, and subsequently processed for ISH, ensuring that the cellular responses and behaviors were analyzed from the same individuals and no animals were re-used. Wild-type  $(TrpC2+/+)$  or  $Trpc2-/-$ , 3- to 4-month-old sexually naive males or virgin, nulliparous, females were exposed to one or two C57BL/6 pups. These pups do not carry scents from the father, because the adult male was removed from the breeding cage prior to pup delivery. Pups were always introduced to the subject's home cage far from the nesting area. Each behavioral assay proceeded for 5 minutes; when VNOs from the same animals were assayed for activation by ISH, the exposures proceeded for a total of 45 minutes. We scored episodes of pup retrieval, defined as the event in which the animal is carried around by the adult and placed in the nesting area, as well as pup-directed aggression episodes, which were counted based on visual inspection that the pup had been attacked, an event usually accompanied by squeaking. Investigation episodes, including those that led to pup retrieval, were also counted.

#### Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using R and Stat packages, and XLSTAT add-on in Excel. For comparing mean behavioral output measurements, we applied oneway Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey-Kramer HSD post-hoc analysis. For calculating the mean number of cells per section expressing a certain gene, n equals the number of scored histological sections, which were collected from a certain number of mice (this information is indicated in the text, together with the mean, or in Additional file [7:](#page-19-0) Table S1 and Additional file [10](#page-19-0): Table S2); for cell count scoring, sections were chosen randomly from the set of stained sections. For calculating the mean percentage of Olfr692-expressing cells activated by a given stimulus (Egr1-positive), n equals the number of mice; for each individual, the percentage count is the mean of all imaged sections, which were randomly chosen among all sections on the slide (see Additional file [7:](#page-19-0) Table S1 for a complete list of groups analyzed, number of sections scored and number of mice from which these were collected). For

<span id="page-18-0"></span>comparing mean numbers of Egr1/Olfr692 doublypositive cells or the mean percentage of activated Olfr692-expressing cells in males and females exposed to pup odors, we applied two-sample Welch'<sup>s</sup> t-test assuming unequal variances. P values (probability that the null hypothesis that the means are equal is true) greater than 0.05 led to rejection of the null hypothesis in all tests.

#### Availability of supporting data

The newborn olfactory organ RNA sequencing dataset supporting the results of this article is available in the European Nucleotide Archive under accession number PRJEB1607, found at [https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/](https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/PRJEB1607) [view/PRJEB1607](https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/PRJEB1607).

#### Additional files

[Additional file 1: Figure S1.](dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12915-016-0234-9) Investigation of expression of OR genes in the VNO by in situ hybridization (ISH) and supporting control data for Olfr692 expression. (a) Olfr691, the OR gene located closest to Olfr692 in the mouse genome, is not expressed in the VNO, nor are two other OR genes not found in the VNO RNA sequencing library (Olfr638 and Olfr569). The same probes label several neurons in the MOE. Images are representative from sets of 12 sections, from 3 mice. (b-d) Chromogenic (left panels) and fluorescent (right) ISH images with probes for V2R receptor genes Vmn2r41 (b), Vmn2r107 (c) and Vmn2r69 (d). See also Additional file 2: Dataset S1 and Additional file 6: Figure S5 for probe validations. (e) Chromogenic (left) or fluorescent (right) ISH for *Olfr78* in the VNO (representative from 14 sections, 7 mice). (f) Quantitation of location of Olfr692- and Olfr78-positive cells in the VNO apical (blue bars) or basal (red bars) zones (error bars are SEM; n = 48 sections, 4 sections per mouse, for Olfr692; n = 12 sections, 2 sections per mouse, for Olfr78). See also Additional file 3: Figure S2e. (g) Chromogenic ISH for Olfr1512 on VNO (left; representative from 27 sections, 3 mice) and MOE sections (right; representative from 24 sections). (h-j) Absence of VNO expression for Olfr124 (h, left and middle panels; representative from 32 sections, 16 mice) and Olfr1509 (i; representative from 8 sections, 4 mice). Probe validations in the MOE for Olfr124 (h, right; representative from 12 sections, 6 animals) and Olfr1509 (j; representative from 8 sections, 4 mice). (k) Olfr124 is highly expressed in the Septal Organ of Masera (representative from 12 sections, 4 mice). lu, VNO lumen; ep, MOE sensory epithelium; som, Septal Organ of Masera (SOM); spt, nasal septum. Scale bars represent 100 µm. Nuclear staining is DAPI labeling (blue). (PDF 1.56 MB)

[Additional file 2: Dataset S1.](dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12915-016-0234-9) Pairwise nucleotide sequence similarity for V2R receptors and probe sequence information. 'V2R pairwise similarity matrix' spreadsheet: Numbers in each cell represent nucleotide sequence similarity between a pair of V2R sequences in the region where in situ hybridization probes were designed. Similarity levels were calculated from genetic distance matrices produced from multiple alignments generated in MEGA used to construct phylogenetic trees in Additional file 6: Figure S5, according to the formula  $d = -3/4$  ln (1-4/3 D), where d is the genetic distance and D is the fraction of nucleotide sites that differ between the pair of sequences being compared. The receptors are grouped into subclades, shown on the left, according to similarity levels. Triangles of yellow cells indicate comparisons between pairs of V2R sequences in the same subclade. 'Oligo sequences' spreadsheet: Sequences of oligonucleotides used as primers to amplify specific regions in target genes to be used for probe synthesis. (XLSX 104 kb)

[Additional file 3: Figure S2.](dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12915-016-0234-9) Control experiments for in situ hybridization investigation (ISH) of the expression of genes for signal transduction molecules in Olfr692-positive cells. (a) The gene for transient receptor potential member TrpC2, characteristic of VSNs, is expressed in a very limited subset of MOE sensory neurons (chromogenic detection following ISH), but these OSNs do not express Olfr692, which would appear as green fluorescent cells in the middle panel (images representative from a set of 21 sections, from seven mice). Blue is DAPI nuclear staining. (b) The gene for cyclic nucleotide gated channel subunit cyclic-nucleotide gated channel (CNGA2), characteristic of MOE OSNs (chromogenic ISH in the right panel), is not expressed in the VNO (left). Middle panel shows a higher magnification image of the leftmost panel. For the VNO, images are representative from a set of 12 sections, from six mice; for the MOE, images are from a set of 12 sections, from three mice.  $(c, d)$  Double fluorescent ISH shows that the gene for Gαolf subunit of heterotrimeric G protein (green fluorescence), characteristic of OSNs, is co-expressed with Olfr692 (red) in the MOE (d), but is not expressed in the VNO (absent green fluorescent signal in c). Nuclear staining is To-Pro-3 labeling (blue). Microscopy images are representative from the set of scored sections indicated in Additional file [10](#page-19-0): Table S2. (e) Double fluorescent ISH shows that Olfr692 (green) and Olfr78 (red) are not co-expressed in the same cells, suggesting that their expression is singular (images representative from a set of 16 sections, from four mice). Quantification of co-labeling counts is summarized in Additional file [10:](#page-19-0) Table S2. lu, VNO lumen; ep, MOE sensory epithelium. Scale bars represent 100 μm. (PDF 2.18 MB)

[Additional file 4: Figure S3.](dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12915-016-0234-9) Investigation of co-expression of Olfr692 with genes for V2R vomeronasal receptors in clade A. (a-d) Double in situ hybridization on VNO sections showing that Olfr692-positive cells do not overlap with the expression of genes for V2R receptors in clade A, detected with probes able to recognize members of subclades A1 (a), A4 (b), A5 (c and c'), A8 (d), A9 (e), A2 (f) and A3 (g). Middle and right panels in  $(a-d)$  and left and right panels in  $(e-g)$  show the overlay between Olfr692 staining (red fluorescence in a-d and chromogenic staining with BCIP/NBT in purple in e-g), To-Pro-3 nuclear staining (blue signal) and staining for V2R receptor genes (chromogenic development in a-d and fluorescent staining in  $e-g$ ). For  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $d-g$ , the right panel is a higher magnification image to evidence absence of co-expression. Microscopy images are representative from the set of scored sections indicated in Additional file [10](#page-19-0): Table S2. Details on quantification of co-labeling counts are summarized in Additional file [10:](#page-19-0) Table S2. lu, VNO lumen. Scale bars represent 100 μm; panels without scale bars have the same magnification as the top leftmost panel. Nuclear staining is To-Pro-3 labeling (blue). (PDF 1.36 MB)

[Additional file 5: Figure S4.](dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12915-016-0234-9) Investigation of co-expression of Olfr692 with genes for V2R vomeronasal receptors in clades B, C and D, and H2-Mv MHC molecules. (a, b) Double in situ hybridization (ISH) on VNO sections showing that Olfr692-positive cells do not overlap with the expression of V2R genes in clades B (a) and D (b). Middle and right panels show the overlay between staining for Olfr692 (red), To-Pro-3 (blue), and V2Rs. The right panels are higher magnification images of the middle panels to evidence absence of co-expression. (c) Control staining to show that the microscopy technique used is sufficient to visualize ISH fluorescent signal (left) even in the presence of overlapping purple precipitate from chromogenic development (middle and right panels). (d, e) Double fluorescent ISH to investigate co-expression of Olfr692 (red) and V2R receptor genes in clade C (green). Insets are higher magnification images to evidence high co-expression with Vmn2r2 (d) but limited coexpression with Vmn2r1 (e). (f) Double fluorescent ISH experiment showing absence of co-localization of fluorescent signals for Olfr692 (green) and a probe for H2-Mv member M10.2 (red). (f) Another example of absence of  $M10.2$  and Olfr692 co-expression (higher magnification image in inset). (a) Chromogenic ISH with two probes for H2-Mv members M10.5 and M10.6 (middle panel) combined with fluorescent detection of Olfr692 (red; left panel) reveals partial co-localization. The right panel is an overlay between the chromogenic signal, false-colored in white, and fluorescence, evidencing co-labeling (yellow arrowheads). Microscopy images are representative from the set of scored sections indicated in Additional file [10](#page-19-0): Table S2. Details on quantification of co-labeling counts are summarized in Additional file [10](#page-19-0): Table S2. lu, VNO lumen. Scale bars represent 100 μm; panels without scale bars have the same magnification as the top leftmost panel. Nuclear staining is To-Pro-3 labeling (blue). (PDF 1.54 MB)

[Additional file 6: Figure S5.](dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12915-016-0234-9) In situ hybridization (ISH) probe validation for highly similar genes in the V2R family of vomeronasal receptors, expressed in the basal VNO layer. (a) Double fluorescent ISH with two probes labeled with different haptens (fluorescein, FLU, marked in green fluorescence, and digoxigenin, DIG, in red fluorescence) designed to detect the same V2R gene results in labeling of the same cells, showing

<span id="page-19-0"></span>that the ISH protocol and subsequent fluorescent detection consistently and robustly label the same subpopulation of VNO sensory neurons with probes for the same gene. (b–d) Double fluorescent ISH with probes for two genes in the same clade of V2R receptors (left panel) leads to labeling of largely overlapping subsets of cells in the VNO (middle panel) for receptors in clades A4 ( $\bf{b}$  and  $\bf{c}$ ) and A1 ( $\bf{d}$ ). The right panels show quantification of singly (green and red leftmost bars) or doubly (yellow rightmost bar) stained cells per VNO section. (e) Probes for a pair of V2R receptors in the large A8 clade (Vmn2r90 and Vmn2r107; left) do not result in significant co-labeling in double ISH experiments (middle and right panels). Therefore, in subsequent experiments, a combination of Vmn2r90 and Vmn2r107 probes was used for this clade. (f, g) Probes for receptors in different V2R subclades [A4 and A3 (f) and A4 and A8 (g)] do not result in significant co-labeling in double fluorescent ISH experiments. Images are representative from 16–24 sections, from 4-6 mice. For the cell counts in the right panels, calculations were performed over  $n = 12$  sections, from four mice. lu, VNO lumen. Scale bars represent 100 μm. (PDF 627 kb)

[Additional file 7: Table S1.](dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12915-016-0234-9) Quantification of activity in Olfr692-positive cells by double in situ hybridization. Quantification of labeled cells in experiments to investigate co-localization between Olfr692 and the VNO neuronal activation marker Egr1 in VNO sections from animals exposed to a range of odorous stimuli (left column). Each n indicates the number of animals studied. For most subjects, one or two randomly chosen sections were used per animal, and the cell count for each subject was the mean calculated from counts taken from those sections. The total number of imaged sections is indicated in the third column. Mean ± SEM. (DOCX 15 kb)

[Additional file 8: Figure S6.](dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12915-016-0234-9) Investigation of activation of Olfr692expressing cells by aliphatic acids in vivo and odorant screening in Olfr692-expressing heterologous cells using SEAP assay. (a) Olfr692 expressing cells are not activated by short-chain aliphatic acids, such as heptanoic, octanoic, nonanoic, and decanoic acids. See also Additional file 7: Table S1 and references [\[49](#page-20-0), [50](#page-20-0)]. (b) Screening of Olfr692-expressing artificially modified Hana3a heterologous cells with aliphatic acids presented at different concentrations shows no stimulus-induced activity (alkaline phosphatase output in black lines; positive control response for receptor Olfr544 [\[49](#page-20-0)] in red line). Responses were normalized against maximum response in Olfr544-expressing control cells exposed to nonanedioic acid. Mean ± SEM. lu, VNO lumen. Scale bars represent 100 μm. Nuclear staining is To-Pro-3 labeling (blue). (c) Functional assay with a panel of 70 odorants in Olfr692-expressing Hana3a cells. Although serial dilutions in half-log steps from  $10^{-3}-10^{-7}$  M were used, only the concentrations which gave the maximum fold-change for Olfr692 are shown for each odorant. The x-axis indicates the SEAP signal fold-change for Olfr692-expressing cells relative to Hana3a cells with no OR. The y-axis shows signal fold-change over baseline. Stimulus categories are colorcoded, to indicate stimuli that activate VSNs in vitro, pheromones and kairomones, stimuli that can still be detected by mice even in the absence of a functional MOE (AC3-/- mice), or known class I OR ligands. (c') Immunocytochemistry against the Rho tag to show cell surface expression of Olfr692 (red) in Hana3a transfected cells. (d) Full concentrationresponse curves for odorants which gave a fold-change over baseline ≥2.5 and fold-change over no-OR control >1.0 in (c). P values are for interaction of receptor and concentration of odorant, two-way ANOVA. Colored lines indicate Hana3a cells expressing Olfr692, in comparison with "no-OR" control cells (black lines). (PDF 1.97 MB)

[Additional file 9: Figure S7.](dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12915-016-0234-9) Supporting experiments on activation of the Olfr692-expressing population by pup odors. (a) Double in situ hybridization (ISH) experiment with probes for Egr1 (red fluorescence) and Olfr692 (green) to show that pup odors collected by washing pups with warm PBS and deposited on gauze are able to activate the Olfr692expressing population in vivo. Images are representative from a set of 20 imaged sections, from  $n = 4$  animals. (b) *Egr1/Olfr692* double ISH experiment to show that pups placed inside a plastic capsule bearing 4-mm wide holes are able to activate the Olfr692-expressing population. Images are representative from a set of 20 imaged sections, from  $n = 4$ animals. Mean ± SEM. lu, VNO lumen. Scale bars represent 25 μm. Nuclear staining is To-Pro-3 labeling (blue). (PDF 118 kb)

[Additional file 10: Table S2.](dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12915-016-0234-9) Quantification of co-labeling in double in situ hybridization (ISH) experiments with cell type-specific molecular markers. Numbers of singly or doubly labeled cells in double ISH experiments to investigate co-localization between Olfr692 and several molecular markers of VNO and MOE neurons. Each n indicates the number of sections studied. The total number of mice from which these sections were taken is indicated in the thirds column. Mean  $\pm$  SEM; n.d. = non-determined. (DOCX 15 kb)

#### Abbreviations

CNGA: Cyclic-nucleotide gated channel; FPKM: Fragments per kilobase of exon sequence per million fragments; GPCR: G-protein-coupled receptor; MOE: Main olfactory epithelium; OR: Odorant receptor; OSN: Olfactory sensory neuron; TrpC2: Transient receptor potential family member C2 ion channel; VNO: Vomeronasal organ; VSN: Vomeronasal sensory neuron.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

FP and DWL initially conceived the study. TSN and FP conceived and conducted most experiments. LMC, VMAC, and GZT performed validations for V2R in situ hybridizations, XI-S and DWL conducted RNA seq experiments. AB and DWL conducted heterologous cell screening. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Lisa Stowers for critically reading the manuscript, and Drs. H Matsunami, S Liberles, JA Yunes, GAG Pereira, H Marques-Souza, AS Farias, VN Solferini, and M Menossi for providing resources. We thank the Cell Biology staff at the Life Sciences Core Facility (LaCTAD-UNICAMP) for help with confocal microscopy, APF Ferreira, JA Ferreira, JOV Ferreira, PR Gusmao, GV Santello, AP Camargo, and PHM Netto for technical support, and Dr. Luis Saraiva for technical advice. This work was supported by a Sao Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) Young Principal Investigator award (grant #09/00473-0) to FP, by FAPESP fellowships to TSN, LMC, VMAC, and GZT, by a CAPES fellowship to TSN, and a Wellcome Trust grant #098051 to DWL, XIS, and AB.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Genetics and Evolution, Institute of Biology, University of Campinas, Rua Monteiro Lobato, Campinas, SP 13083-862, Brazil. <sup>2</sup>Graduate Program in Genetics and Molecular Biology, Institute of Biology, University of Campinas, Campinas, SP 13083-862, Brazil. <sup>3</sup>Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridge CB10 1SA, UK. <sup>4</sup>Monell Chemical Senses Center, 3500 Market St., Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA. <sup>5</sup>Current affiliation: Neurosciences Graduate Program, University of California San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive 0634, La Jolla, CA 92093-0634, USA. <sup>6</sup>Current affiliation: MRC Centre for Developmental Neurobiology, King's College London, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, UK.

#### Received: 19 October 2015 Accepted: 4 February 2016 Published online: 15 February 2016

#### References

- 1. Munger SD, Leinders-Zufall T, Zufall F. Subsystem organization of the mammalian sense of smell. Annu Rev Physiol. 2009;71:115–40.
- 2. Zhang X, Firestein S. The olfactory receptor gene superfamily of the mouse. Nat Neurosci. 2002;5:124–33.
- 3. Ressler KJ, Sullivan SL, Buck LB. A zonal organization of odorant receptor gene expression in the olfactory epithelium. Cell. 1993;73:597–609.
- 4. Vassar R, Ngai J, Axel R. Spatial segregation of odorant receptor expression in the mammalian olfactory epithelium. Cell. 1993;74:309–18.
- 5. Buck L, Axel R. A novel multigene family may encode odorant receptors: A molecular basis for odor recognition. Cell. 1991;65:175–87.
- 6. Halpern M. The organization and function of the vomeronasal system. Annu Rev Neurosci. 1987;10:325–62.
- 7. Tirindelli R, Dibattista M, Pifferi S, Menini A. From pheromones to behavior. Physiol Rev. 2009;89:921–56.
- 8. Chamero P, Marton TF, Logan DW, Flanagan K, Cruz JR, Saghatelian A, et al. Identification of protein pheromones that promote aggressive behaviour. Nature. 2007;450:899–902.
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>9. Stowers L, Holy TE, Meister M, Dulac C, Koentges G. Loss of sex discrimination and male-male aggression in mice deficient for TRP2. Science. 2002;295:1493–500.
- 10. Leypold BG, Yu CR, Leinders-Zufall T, Kim MM, Zufall F, Axel R. Altered sexual and social behaviors in trp2 mutant mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002;99:6376–81.
- 11. Kimchi T, Xu J, Dulac C. A functional circuit underlying male sexual behaviour in the female mouse brain. Nature. 2007;448:1009–14.
- 12. Ferrero DM, Moeller LM, Osakada T, Horio N, Li Q, Roy DS, et al. A juvenile mouse pheromone inhibits sexual behaviour through the vomeronasal system. Nature. 2013;502:368–71.
- 13. Haga S, Hattori T, Sato T, Sato K, Matsuda S, Kobayakawa R, et al. The male mouse pheromone ESP1 enhances female sexual receptive behaviour through a specific vomeronasal receptor. Nature. 2010;466:118–22.
- 14. Papes F, Logan DW, Stowers L. The vomeronasal organ mediates interspecies defensive behaviors through detection of protein pheromone homologs. Cell. 2010;141:692–703.
- 15. Dulac C, Axel R. A novel family of genes encoding putative pheromone receptors in mammals. Cell. 1995;83:195–206.
- 16. Herrada G, Dulac C. A novel family of putative pheromone receptors in mammals with a topographically organized and sexually dimorphic distribution. Cell. 1997;90:763–73.
- 17. Matsunami H, Buck LB. A multigene family encoding a diverse array of putative pheromone receptors in mammals. Cell. 1997;90:775–84.
- 18. Ryba NJ, Tirindelli R. A New multigene family of putative pheromone receptors. Neuron. 1997;19:371–9.
- 19. Rivière S, Challet L, Fluegge D, Spehr M, Rodriguez I. Formyl peptide receptor-like proteins are a novel family of vomeronasal chemosensors. Nature. 2009;459:574–7.
- 20. Sam M, Vora S, Malnic B, Ma W, Novotny MV, Buck LB. Neuropharmacology. Odorants may arouse instinctive behaviours. Nature. 2001;412:142.
- 21. Ibarra-Soria X, Levitin MO, Saraiva LR, Logan DW. The olfactory transcriptomes of mice. PLoS Genet. 2014;10:e1004593.
- 22. Grosmaitre X, Fuss SH, Lee AC, Adipietro KA, Matsunami H, Mombaerts P, et al. SR1, a mouse odorant receptor with an unusually broad response profile. J Neurosci. 2009;29:14545–52.
- 23. Lévai O, Feistel T, Breer H, Strotmann J. Cells in the vomeronasal organ express odorant receptors but project to the accessory olfactory bulb. J Comp Neurol. 2006;498:476–90.
- 24. Jones D, Reed R. Golf: an olfactory neuron specific-G protein involved in odorant signal transduction. Science. 1989;244:790–5.
- 25. Berghard A, Buck LB, Liman ER. Evidence for distinct signaling mechanisms in two mammalian olfactory sense organs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1996; 93:2365–9.
- 26. Loconto J, Papes F, Chang E, Stowers L, Jones EP, Takada T, et al. Functional expression of murine V2R pheromone receptors involves selective association with the M10 and M1 families of MHC class Ib molecules. Cell. 2003;112:607–18.
- 27. Ishii T, Hirota J, Mombaerts P. Combinatorial coexpression of neural and immune multigene families in mouse vomeronasal sensory neurons. Curr Biol. 2003;13:394–400.
- 28. Omura M, Mombaerts P. Trpc2-expressing sensory neurons in the main olfactory epithelium of the mouse. Cell Rep. 2014;8:583–95.
- 29. Shi P, Zhang J. Comparative genomic analysis identifies an evolutionary shift of vomeronasal receptor gene repertoires in the vertebrate transition from water to land. Genome Res. 2007;17:166–74.
- 30. Martini S, Silvotti L, Shirazi A, Ryba NJP, Tirindelli R. Co-expression of putative pheromone receptors in the sensory neurons of the vomeronasal organ. J Neurosci. 2001;21:843–8.
- 31. Silvotti L, Moiani A, Gatti R, Tirindelli R. Combinatorial co-expression of pheromone receptors, V2Rs. J Neurochem. 2007;103:1753–63.
- 32. Isogai Y, Si S, Pont-Lezica L, Tan T, Kapoor V, Murthy VN, et al. Molecular organization of vomeronasal chemoreception. Nature. 2011;478:241–5.
- 33. Saito H, Chi Q, Zhuang H, Matsunami H, Mainland JD. Odor coding by a mammalian receptor repertoire. Sci Signal. 2009;2:ra9.
- 34. Zhuang H, Matsunami H. Evaluating cell-surface expression and measuring activation of mammalian odorant receptors in heterologous cells. Nat Protoc. 2008;3:1402–13.
- 35. Tachikawa KS, Yoshihara Y, Kuroda KO. Behavioral transition from attack to parenting in male mice: a crucial role of the vomeronasal system. J Neurosci. 2013;33:5120–6.
- 36. Wu Z, Autry AE, Bergan JF, Watabe-Uchida M, Dulac CG. Galanin neurons in the medial preoptic area govern parental behaviour. Nature. 2014;509:325–30.
- Brooks RJ, Schwarzkopf L. Factors affecting incidence of infanticide and discrimination of related and unrelated neonates in male Mus musculus. Behav Neural Biol. 1983;37:149–61.
- 38. Lonstein JS, De Vries GJ. Sex differences in the parental behavior of rodents. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2000;24:669–86.
- 39. Vom Saal FS. Time-contingent change in infanticide and parental behavior induced by ejaculation in male mice. Physiol Behav. 1985;34:7–15.
- 40. Svare B, Mann M. Infanticide: genetic, developmental and hormonal influences in mice. Physiol Behav. 1981;27:921–7.
- 41. Trinh K, Storm DR. Vomeronasal organ detects odorants in absence of signaling through main olfactory epithelium. Nat Neurosci. 2003;6:519–25.
- 42. Nodari F, Hsu F-F, Fu X, Holekamp TF, Kao L-F, Turk J, et al. Sulfated steroids as natural ligands of mouse pheromone-sensing neurons. J Neurosci. 2008; 28:6407–18.
- 43. Leinders-Zufall T, Brennan P, Widmayer P, PC S, Maul-Pavicic A, Jäger M, et al. MHC class I peptides as chemosensory signals in the vomeronasal organ. Science. 2004;306:1033–7.
- 44. Dey S, Chamero P, Pru JK, Chien M-S, Ibarra-Soria X, Spencer KR, et al. Cyclic regulation of sensory perception by a female hormone alters behavior. Cell. 2015;161:1334–44.
- 45. Roberts SA, Simpson DM, Armstrong SD, Davidson AJ, Robertson DH, McLean L, et al. Darcin: a male pheromone that stimulates female memory and sexual attraction to an individual male's odour. BMC Biol. 2010;8:75.
- 46. Kuroda KO, Tachikawa K, Yoshida S, Tsuneoka Y, Numan M. Neuromolecular basis of parental behavior in laboratory mice and rats: with special emphasis on technical issues of using mouse genetics. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2011;35:1205–31.
- 47. Tsuneoka Y, Tokita K, Yoshihara C, Amano T, Esposito G, Huang AJ, et al. Distinct preoptic-BST nuclei dissociate paternal and infanticidal behavior in mice. EMBO J. 2015;34(21):2652–70.
- 48. Mortazavi A, Williams BA, McCue K, Schaeffer L, Wold B. Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. Nat Methods. 2008;5:621–8.
- 49. Malnic B, Hirono J, Sato T, Buck LB. Combinatorial receptor codes for odors. Cell. 1999;96:713–23.
- 50. Nguyen DT, Lee K, Choi H, Choi M, Le MT, Song N, et al. The complete swine olfactory subgenome: expansion of the olfactory gene repertoire in the pig genome. BMC Genomics. 2012;13:584.

#### Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- **•** We accept pre-submission inquiries
- **•** Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- **•** Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- **•** Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

