PRISCILA NOGUEIRA GOMES Cirurgiã Dentista

BIOATIVIDADE, BIOESTRUTURA E MORFOLOGIA DE BIOFILMES DE *Candida* spp. DESENVOLVIDOS NA PRESENÇA DE FLUCONAZOL

Tese apresentada à Faculdade de Odontologia de Piracicaba da Universidade Estadual de Campinas para obtenção do título de Doutor em Clínica Odontológica – Área de Prótese Dental.

Orientadora: Profa. Dra. Altair Antoninha Del Bel Cury

Piracicaba 2011

FICHA CATALOGRÁFICA ELABORADA PELA BIBLIOTECA DA FACULDADE DE ODONTOLOGIA DE PIRACICABA

Bibliotecária: Elis Regina Alves dos Santos – CRB-8^a / 8099

G585b	Gomes, Priscila Nogueira. Bioatividade, bioestrutura e morfologia de biofilmes de <i>Candida</i> spp. desenvolvidos na presença de fluconazol / Priscila Nogueira Gomes Piracicaba, SP: [s.n.], 2011.
	Orientador: Altair Antoninha Del Bel Cury. Tese (Doutorado) – Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Faculdade de Odontologia de Piracicaba.
	1. <i>Candida albicans</i> . 2. <i>Candida glabrata</i> . I. Del Bel Cury, Altair Antoninha. II. Universidade Estadual de Campinas. Faculdade de Odontologia de Piracicaba. III. Título.
	(eras/fop)

Título em Inglês: Bioactivity, biostructure and morphology of *Candida* spp. biofilms grown in the presence of fluconazole

Palavras-chave em Inglês (Keywords): 1. *Candida albicans*. 2. *Candida glabrata* Área de Concentração: Prótese Dental

Titulação: Doutor em Clínica Odontológica

Banca Examinadora: Altair Antoninha Del Bel Cury, Marcos Ribeiro Moyses, João Gustavo Rabelo Ribeiro, Tatiana Pereira Cenci, Renata Cunha Matheus Rodrigues Garcia

Data da Defesa: 17-02-2011

Programa de Pós-Graduação em Clínica Odontológica



UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS Faculdade de Odontologia de Piracicaba



A Comissão Julgadora dos trabalhos de Defesa de Tese de Doutorado, em sessão pública realizada em 17 de Fevereiro de 2011, considerou a candidata PRISCILA NOGUEIRA GOMES aprovada.

Profa. Dra. ALTAIR ANTONINHA DEL BEL CURY

Prof. Dr. MARCOS RIBEIRO MOYSES

hillin

Prof. Dr./JOÃO GUSTAVO RABELO RIBEIRO

lu

Profa. Dra. TATIANA PEREIRA CENCI

Profa. Dra. RENATA CUNHA MATHEUS RODRIGUES GARCIA

À **Deus**, pela constante presença em minha vida, pelo seu amor, pela força nos momentos de dificuldade, pela coragem nos momentos de insegurança, por trilhar meu caminho e me ajudar seguir em frente. "Sem meu Deus nenhum dos meus dias teriam sentido, nenhum dos meus atos seriam bem-sucedidos e todos os meus planos seriam em vão". "Grande é o Senhor e mui digno de ser louvado." Salmos 48:1

Aos meus amados pais **Péricles e Mirian**, companheiros de todos os momentos, amorosos, carinhosos, solícitos e que sempre me apoiaram a continuar a caminhada em busca dos meus ideais. Obrigado é muito pouco para retribuir o amor, cuidado e tudo que me proporcionam. Amo vocês!

Ao meu noivo **Jonathas**, pelo carinho e acima de tudo pelo amor. Seu amor e incentivo fizeram-me confiante. Obrigada por todos os momentos felizes que passamos juntos. Te amo!

AGRADECIMENTO ESPECIAL

À minha querida orientadora **Profa. Dra. Altair Antoninha Del Bel Cury**, exemplo de pesquisadora, primeiramente pela inestimável oportunidade que me concedeu ao me acolher como sua orientada! Muito obrigada pela confiança em meu trabalho, pela prontidão em me atender e pela tranquilidade que me transmitiu nos momentos de ansiedade encontrados durante a pesquisa.

"Se eu vi mais longe, foi por estar de pé sobre ombros de gigantes."

Isaac Newton.

AGRADECIMENTOS

À Universidade Estadual de Campinas por meio do seu Magnífico Reitor, **Prof. Dr. Fernando Ferreira Costa**.

À Faculdade de Odontologia de Piracicaba da Universidade Estadual de Campinas, na pessoa de seu Diretor **Prof. Dr. Jacks Jorge Júnior.**

À Coordenadora dos Cursos de Pós-Graduação da Faculdade de Odontologia de Piracicaba da Universidade Estadual de Campinas, **Profa. Dra. Renata Cunha Matheus Rodrigues Garcia,** pelos ensinamentos que contribuíram para meu crescimento profissional.

Ao Coordenador do Programa de Pós-Graduação em Clínica Odontológica da Faculdade de Odontologia de Piracicaba da Universidade Estadual de Campinas, **Prof. Dr. Márcio de Moraes**.

Ao **Prof. Dr. Jaime Aparecido Cury** do Departamento de Ciências Fisiológicas da Faculdade de Odontologia de Piracicaba da Universidade Estadual de Campinas, por dividir seu conhecimento e sua avaliação crítica da ciência. Agradeço também pela permissão de uso do laboratório de Bioquímica Oral.

Ao **Prof. Dr. Elliot Watanabe Kitajima** da Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz da Universidade de São Paulo, por consentir a utilização do Microscópio Eletrônico de Transmissão.

Ao querido amigo, **Prof. Dr. Wander José da Silva** pela valiosa ajuda, e por todas as vezes que interrompeu suas atividades para responder minhas dúvidas, me auxiliando no desenvolvimento do conhecimento na área de microbiologia. Obrigada também por todos os momentos divertidos.

Às Profas. Dras. Cínthia Pereira Machado Tabchoury e Lívia Maria Andaló Tenuta da área de Bioquímica Oral do Departamento de Ciências Fisiológicas, por todo conhecimento compartilhado. À **Profa. Dra. Célia Marisa Rizzatti Barbosa**, da área de Prótese Parcial Removível do Departamento de Prótese e Periodontia, pelos ensinamentos.

À minha avó **Daisy**, pessoa que tanto amo e sei que sempre posso contar. Obrigada por não medir esforços para demonstrar seu amor!

Aos meus avôs **Pépé[†]**, **Wil[†]** e **Bere[†]**, impossível pensar em vocês sem que a saudade e a tristeza tomem conta de mim! Passei por várias lutas durante o curso, mas sem dúvida a mais difícil foi perder vocês! Agradeço à Deus, por terem feito parte da minha vida, cada um a sua maneira! Nunca me esquecerei de vocês!

Aos meus amados **Tios Lica e Brenda Paula** e à minha prima **Rebecca**, pelo carinho, suporte, almoços, inúmeras caronas e por me oferecerem momentos de imensa alegria quando estamos juntos. Obrigado pelo incentivo e por tudo que fazem por mim.

À minha amiga **Anne**, desculpe-me por nesses quatro anos, ter sido uma amiga ausente! A amizade é o conforto indescritível de nos sentirmos seguros com uma pessoa, sem ser preciso pesar o que se pensa, nem medir o que se diz.

Há certas horas que só queremos a mão no ombro, o abraço apertado ou mesmo o estar ali, quietinho ao nosso lado sem nada dizer. Alguém que ria de nossas piadas sem graça, que ache nossas tristezas maiores do mundo, que nos teça elogios sem fim... e que apesar de todas essas mentiras úteis, nos seja de uma sinceridade inquestionável... que nos mande ficar quietos ou nos evite um gesto impensado... alguém que nos possa dizer: acho que você está errado, mas estou do seu lado! Obrigado aos meus amados amigos **Antônio Pedro**, **Fabiana Straioto**, **Frederico Fernandes**, **Sílvia Lucena**, e **Simone Gomes**, espero que nossa amizade se estenda além dos muros da FOP, mas "se me esqueceres, só uma coisa, esquece-me bem devagarzinho" Mário Quintana. À amiga **Camila Cordeiro Pousa**, que sempre esteve ao meu lado, ajudando-me a vencer as barreiras. Sem sua ajuda seria impossível concluir esse trabalho! Meu sincero agradecimento!

Aos amigos de curso, Alfonso Ayala, Ana Flávia Calvo, Ana Paula Martins, Ana Paula Vieira, Arcelino Neto, Bruno Maior, Camila Campos, Carolina Meloto, Cristiane Leal, Gisele Ribeiro, Jonas de Oliveira, Juliana K. B. Fernandes, Leonardo Luthi, Letícia Gonçalves, Luana Aquino, Marcele Pimentel, Plínio Senna, Regiane Amaral, Sheila Porta, Sandro Kusano, Thaís Gonçalves e William Custódio, que contribuíram para a realização deste curso, cada um do seu jeito, mas sempre com muito carinho e respeito.

Às técnicas do Laboratório de Prótese Parcial Removível, **Sra**. **Joselena Lodi** e **Sra. Gislaine Piton**, pelo suporte durante a pesquisa, mas especialmente pelo carinho e incentivo durante todo o doutorado.

Aos técnicos do Laboratório de Bioquímica Oral, **Waldomiro Vieira Filho** e **José Alfredo da Silva**, pela ajuda e prontidão.

À técnica **Eliene Narvaes**, do Departamento de Morfologia, pela solicitude e disponibilidade em me auxiliar com a preparação das amostras e visualização no Microscópio Eletrônico de Transmissão. Sem sua inestimável ajuda isso não teria sido possível.

À doutoranda Vanessa Queiroz, pela solicitude com minhas demandas.

À Érica Alessandra Pinho Sinhoreti, Raquel Q. Marcondes Cesar Sacchi e Roberta Clares Morales dos Santos, secretárias da Coordenadoria Geral dos Programas de Pós-Graduação; ao Emílio Carlos Salles, diretor de serviços da Faculdade de Odontologia de Piracicaba da Universidade Estadual de Campinas; à Eliete A. Ferreira Lima Marim, secretária do Departamento de

viii

Prótese e Periodontia; meu sincero agradecimento pela atenção e gentileza dispensada durante esses anos de convívio.

À Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo, FAPESP, pela concessão da bolsa e do auxílio à pesquisa (Proc. 2008/03210-8 e 2008/05936-6)

À Universidade José do Rosário Vellano – UNIFENAS, na pessoa do Prof. Luiz Antônio Sartori, pela busca constante na qualidade do ensino em odontologia, pelas oportunidades e por ter acreditado em mim, permitindo meu crescimento pessoal e profissional.

Aos queridos **Professores da UNIFENAS e UNINCOR,** cada um contribuiu um pouco na minha formação de cirurgiã dentista, mestre e professora. Sou profundamente grata pelo apoio e atenção em todos os momentos, mesmo quando eu já não mais estava nas Universidades.

À todos que em algum momento estiveram ao meu lado, meu sincero muito obrigado!

RESUMO

O biofilme de Candida spp. formado na superfície de próteses removíveis, é o principal fator etiológico da candidose, sendo a *C. albicans* e a *C.* glabrata as espécies mais prevalentes nesta condição. O antifúngico fluconazol (FLZ) é frequentemente utilizado no tratamento da candidose, porém o sucesso tem sido limitado devido a resistência desenvolvida pela Candida a esse medicamento. Considerando a importância da estrutura e morfologia do biofilme de Candida na candidose, o objetivo neste estudo foi avaliar o efeito de FLZ na bioatividade, bioestrutura e morfologia celular de biofilmes de Candida spp. desenvolvidos na presença deste antifúngico. Espécimes (10 mm x 2 mm) foram confeccionados utilizando resina de poli(metilmetacrilato) (PMMA), polimerizada por banho de água quente. Películas de saliva foram formadas na superfície da PMMA, e biofilmes de um isolado referência e dois isolados clínicos de C. albicans (ATCC 90028, P01, P34) e C. glabrata (ATCC 2001, P11, P31) foram desenvolvidos por 48h. Dois grupos foram formados: controle e experimental. FLZ a 2,56 µg/mL, concentração biodisponivel na saliva, foi adicionado ao meio de cultura do grupo experimental. Os meios de cultura do grupo controle e experimental foram trocados a cada 24 h. As bioatividades dos biofilmes foram avaliadas utilizando análise colorimétrica de redução por XTT. A bioestrutura analisada através do Microscópio Confocal à Laser e a morfologia celular avaliada utilizando o Microscópio Eletrônico de Transmissão. Os dados foram analisados pelo Test t de Student com nível de significância de 5%. A presença do FLZ reduziu a bioatividade de todos os biofilmes de C. albicans (p<0.001), porém não alterou a estrutura e morfologia da C. albicans P34. Quanto à bioatividade e bioestrutura dos biofilmes de C. glabrata, não foram encontradas diferenças estatisticamente significantes entre os grupos controle e experimental. Pode-se concluir que as alterações da bioatividade, bioestrutura e morfologia celular, como resposta ao tratamento com FLZ, na concentração biodisponível na saliva, depende da cepa de *Candida* spp. avaliada.

Palavras-chave: Biofilme, Candida albicans, Candida glabrata e fluconazol.

Х

ABSTRACT

Candida spp. biofilm formed on removable denture surfaces is considered the main etiologic factor of candidosis, being the C. albicans and C. glabrata the species most frequently found in this condition. The antifungic fluconazol (FLZ) is commonly used in the treatment of candidosis, however its success is limited due to the resistance developed by Candida to this medicament. Considering the importance of the structure and morphology of *Candida* biofilms in the candidosis, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of FLZ on the bioactivity, biostructure and morphology of *Candida* spp. biofilms formed in the presence of this antifungal agent. Specimens (10 mm x 2 mm) were fabricated using water bath poly(methylmethacrylate) resin (PMMA). Salivary pellicles were formed on the PMMA surface, and biofilms of a reference strain and two clinical isolates of C. albicans (ATCC 90028, P01, P34) and C. glabrata (ATCC 2001, P11, P31) were developed for a period of 48h. Two groups were formed: control and experimental. FLZ at 2.56 µg/mL, concentration bioavailable in saliva, was added to the medium of the experimental group. The culture mediums of the control and experimental groups were changed at 24 hours. The bioactivities of the biofilms were evaluated with XTT reduction colorimetric assay. The biostructure was analyzed by the Confocal Scanning Laser Microscopy and the cell morphology analyzed by the Transmission Electron Microscopy. The data were analyzed by Student's t-test, with significance level set at 5%. The presence of FLZ decreased the bioactivity of all C. albicans biofilms (p<0.001), it did not change the structure and morphology of P34. As regards C. glabrata biofilms bioactivity and biostructure, no statistically significant differences were found between control and experimental groups for biofilms of all strains. It could be concluded that the alterations in bioactivity, biostructure and cell morphology in response to the treatment with fluconazole, bioavailable concentration present in saliva, depends on the Candida spp. strain

Keywords: Biofilm, Candida albicans, Candida glabrata and fluconazole.

SUMÁRIO

INTRODUÇÃO	1
CAPÍTULO: Bioactivity, biostructure and morphology of Candida spp. biofilms grown in the presence of Fluconazole.	4
CONCLUSÃO	27
REFERÊNCIAS	28
ANEXOS	30

INTRODUÇÃO

A cavidade bucal oferece uma variedade de nichos à colonização microbiana, permitindo a sobrevivência de bactérias, vírus e fungos (Socransky & Haffajee, 1994; Sweeney *et al.*, 1998; Jabra-Rizk *et al.*, 2001). Dentre os fungos destaca-se as *Candida* spp., presentes na cavidade oral na forma comensal em cerca de 20% a 50% da população dentada saudável (Ellepola & Samaranayake, 2000; Perezous *et al.*, 2005). Contudo, sob determinadas condições, a *Candida* comporta-se como patógeno oportunista, causando infecções que vão desde lesões nas mucosas até disseminações sistêmicas graves e invasivas (de Repentigny *et al.*, 2000; Leung *et al.*, 2000). Várias são as condições predisponentes ao desenvolvimento da candidose, com destaque para os tratamentos imunossupressores, síndrome da imunodeficiência adquirida, uso indiscriminado de antibióticos e próteses mal adaptadas e/ou precariamente higienizadas (Wilkieson *et al.*, 1991; Cheng *et al.*, 2005; Nucci & Marr, 2005).

C. albicans é considerada o principal agente etiológico da candidose oral, devido à sua capacidade de aderir e colonizar a base das próteses e a mucosa subjacente (Cannon & Chaffin, 1999; Akpan & Morgan, 2002; Barbeau *et al.*, 2003). Entretanto, *C. glabrata* é também frequentemente isolada das superfícies de próteses e da mucosa oral (Pereira-Cenci *et al.*, 2008), representando o segundo patógeno mais prevalente nessas infecções (Lachke *et al.*, 2002).

Visando o restabelecimento da saúde do paciente com candidose, temse preconizado a utilização de fármacos, dentre os quais se destaca o uso do fluconazol (FLZ), fungistático pertencente ao grupo dos azóis (Spellberg *et al.*, 2006), devido a sua alta biodisponibilidade e a facilidade de administração via oral ou intravenosa. Adicionalmente, destaca-se o baixo custo deste medicamente, uma vez que sua patente é de domínio público (Spellberg *et al.*, 2006). O FLZ limita a biossíntese do ergosterol, componente essencial para manutenção da integridade da membrana plasmática. (Niimi *et al.*, 2010; Ellepola & Samaranayake, 2000; Cannon *et al.*, 2009).

No entanto, algumas dificuldades têm sido reladas em relação ao tratamento com FLZ, tais como a resistência adquirida pelos microrganismos aos componentes azólicos, (Chandra *et al.*, 2001; Mann *et al.*, 2009), o aumento da prevalência de espécies *não-albicans* nas infecções (Bagg, *et al.*, 2003; Li *et al.*, 2007) e a complexa estrutura do biofilme de *Candida* que dificulta a penetração dos agentes antifúngicos (Baillie & Douglas 1999).

A resistência adquirida aos antifúngicos tem sido um dos principais problemas nas infecções por *Candida*, pois permite o desenvolvimento de novas linhagens resistentes (Mann *et al.*, 2009). Em alguns casos, a terapia com antifúngicos da classe dos azóis reduz a condição inflamatória inicial, porém os aspectos clínicos são recorrentes após a supressão do tratamento (Chandra *et al.*, 2001; Mann *et al.*, 2009). Os insucessos clínicos geralmente correlacionam-se com a sensibilidade diminuída dos isolados ao FLZ (Dupont & Drouhet, 1988; Cameron *et al.*, 1993; Odds, 1993) e estão frequentemente relacionados a tratamentos anteriores com esta droga (Garcia-Hermoso *et al.*, 1995).

Também tem sido observado o aumento da prevalência de infecções causadas por *Candida não-albicans*, (Bagg *et al.* 2003; Li *et al.*, 2007), especialmente as ocasionadas pela *C. glabrata*, que são mais difíceis de serem tratadas e estão fortemente associadas às infecções sistêmicas generalizadas com alta taxa de mortalidade (Li *et al.*, 2007; Pfaller & Diekema, 2007). Esse aumento na prevalência ainda não está completamente elucidado, mas pode estar relacionado com os repetitivos tratamentos antifúngicos, os quais têm maior efeito sobre as espécies de *C. albicans*, favorecendo o desenvolvimento das espécies *não-albicans* (Procop & Roberts, 2004).

Outro fator que dificulta a terapêutica antifúngica é o fato que as *Candida* spp. apresentam a capacidade de se aderir às superfícies de próteses, e de formar comunidades microbianas conhecidas como biofilmes. Os biofilmes de *Candida* são constituídos por camadas de células envoltas por uma matriz extracelular polimérica. A matriz extracelular dificulta a penetração dos agentes

antimicrobianos nas camadas mais basais dos biofilmes, limitando a ação dos agentes antifúngicos (Baillie & Douglas, 1999).

Todos esses fatores resultam em uma menor susceptibilidade dos biofilmes de *Candida* ao tratamento antifúngico. A susceptibilidade ao FLZ vem sendo avaliada através da análise colorimétrica por redução de XTT (Kuhn et al., 2003), que é uma substância incolor que quando metabolizada pelas células microbianas é convertido em um produto com coloração amarronzado denominado formazan. Este promove uma alteração na coloração dos meios em maior ou menor intensidade possibilitando a mensuração da susceptibilidade antifúngica de biofilmes sem a disruptura estrutural do mesmo (Kuhn *et al.*, 2003).

Apesar de alguns estudos avaliarem o efeito do FLZ nos biofilmes de *Candida*, utilizando o XTT, esses estudos primeiramente formaram biofilmes, quando estes estavam maduros eram submetidos à aplicação única do FLZ (Konopka *et al.*, 2010; Chandra *et al.*, 2001). Nenhum estudo foi encontrado que simulasse a condição clínica na qual os biofilmes de *Candida* spp. são capazes de se desenvolver sobre as superfícies protéticas na presença do FLZ, condição que favorece o desenvolvimento da resistência adquirida dos biofilmes de *Candida* spp. ao FLZ.

Diante disto, o presente estudo teve o objetivo de avaliar se biofilmes de *Candida* spp. desenvolvidos na constante presença do FLZ apresentam alterações na sua bioatividade, bioestrutura e morfologia celular.

Bioactivity, biostructure and morphology of C*andida* spp. biofilms grown in the presence of Fluconazole

Priscila Nogueira Gomes^a, Wander José da Silva^a, Camila Cordeiro Pousa^a, Eliene Aparecida Orsini Narvaes^b, Altair Antoninha Del Bel Cury^{a1}

^a Department of Prosthodontics and Periodontology, Piracicaba Dental School, State University of Campinas.

^b Department of Morphology, Piracicaba Dental School, State University of Campinas, Piracicaba, Sao Paulo, Brazil.

¹ Corresponding author at: Piracicaba Dental School, State University of Campinas, Department of Prosthodontics and Periodontology, Piracicaba, São Paulo, Av. Limeira nº 901, CEP 13.414-903, Brazil.Tel +55 19 21065294; fax +55 19 2106-5211.

E-mail address: <u>altcury@fop.unicamp.br</u>

ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of Fluconazole (FLZ) on the bioactivity, biostructure and morphology of Candida spp. biofilms formed in the presence of this antifungal agent. Materials and methods: Specimens were fabricated using water bath poly(methylmethacrylate) resin (PMMA). Salivary pellicles were formed on the PMMA surface, and biofilms of a reference strain and two clinical isolates of Candida albicans (ATCC 90028, P01, P34) and Candida glabrata (ATCC 2001, P11, P31) were developed for a period of 48 h. Two groups were formed: control and experimental. FLZ at 2.56 µg/mL, concentration bioavailable in saliva, was added to the medium of the experimental group. The culture mediums of the control and experimental groups were changed at 24 h. The bioactivities of the biofilms were evaluated with XTT reduction colorimetric assay. The biostructure was analyzed by Confocal Scanning Laser Microscopy and the morphology by the Transmission Electron Microscopy. The data were analyzed by the Student's t-test, with significance level set at 5%. Results: The presence of FLZ decreased the bioactivity of all C. albicans biofilms (p<0.001), however, it did not change the structure and morphology of P34. As regards C. glabrata biofilm bioactivity and biostructure, no statistically significant differences were found between control and experimental groups for biofilms of all strains. Conclusion: The alterations in bioactivity, biostructure and cell morphology in response to the treatment with fluconazole, at the bioavailable concentration present in saliva, depend on the *Candida* spp. strain.

Keywords: Biofilms, Candida, Candida albicans, Candida glabrata and Fluconazole

Introduction

Candida species are commensal microorganisms with a presence that ranges from 20% to 50% of the microorganisms in the oral cavity of healthy dentate population.¹ However, under predisposing conditions, *Candida* spp. can behave as an opportunistic pathogen causing a variety of infections ranging from mucosal lesions to severe systemic dissemination.^{2,3} Among these infections, *Candida*-associated denture stomatitis is a common disease, observed in approximately 11% to 67% of denture wearers,⁴ *C. albicans* being the predominant isolate in these conditions.⁵ However, *C. glabrata* has frequently been isolated from acrylic surface and the palatal mucosa,⁵ and represents the second most prevalent fungal pathogen in the oral cavity.⁶

Fluconazole (FLZ) has been the preferred antifungal agent for the treatment of mucosal and systemic *Candida* spp. infections.⁷ The wide use of FLZ to treat *Candida* infections can be attributed to its high bioavailability, reduced cost and the possibility of being administrated orally or intravenously.⁷ However, acquisition of resistance to azole compounds has been recorded with several organisms, in particular *C. albicans*.⁸ Acquired resistance to antifungal agents has been one of the major problems, as the treatment can lead to selection of microorganisms, favoring infections caused by *Candida non-albicans*.^{9,10} In particular infections caused by *C. glabrata*, which is naturally more resistant to antifungal treatment and strongly associated with generalized systemic infections with high mortality rates.^{10,11}

Although some studies have been conducted evaluating the effect of FLZ on *Candida* biofilms or as planktonic cells¹²⁻¹⁵ these studies were conducted using FLZ after biofilm growth^{13,14} measuring the bioactivity of biofilms. However, no study was found, which simulated the clinical condition in which *Candida* biofilms were allowed to grow on the denture surfaces while the patients were undergoing FLZ therapy, a condition that could lead to *Candida* spp. developing resistance to FLZ.

Thus, the aim of the present study was to evaluate whether *Candida* spp. biofilms grown while they were under constant administration of FLZ could have their normal bioactivity, cellular morphology and biostucture altered.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental design

This in vitro study had a randomized and blinded design. Specimens of poly(methylmethacrylate) resin were fabricated according to the manufacturer's instructions. After this, the surface roughness was measured and the specimens were randomly divided into 12 groups for biofilm assays. Biofilms of one reference strain and two clinical isolates of *C. albicans* (ATCC 90028, P01, P34) and *C. glabrata* (ATCC 2001, P11, P31) were allowed to develop on specimen surfaces. Two groups were formed: control and experimental. FLZ at 2.56 µg/mL, concentration bioavailable in saliva¹⁶, was added to the medium of the experimental group. The biofilms were developed for 48h, and the bioactivity was

evaluated using XTT. Confocal Scanning Laser Microscopy (CLSM) and Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) were used for biostructure and morphology analyses, respectively.

2.2. Preparation of specimens

Specimens were fabricated using acrylic resin polymerized by hot water bath (QC-20 PMMA - Dentsply Ltd., Weybridge, England), according to the manufacturer's instructions, at room temperature ($25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C) and $50 \pm 5\%$ (relative humidity) under aseptic conditions, using a metal matrix (10 mm in diameter and 2 mm in thick). The specimens were immersed in distilled water at 37 °C for 48h for residual monomer release.¹⁷ Specimens were ground using progressively smoother aluminum oxide papers (320, 400, and 600 - grit) in a horizontal polisher (model APL-4; Arotec, São Paulo, Brazil). The specimens were disinfected with 70% alcohol, and washed twice with sterile distilled water, and then ultrasonicated for 20 min to remove any contaminates and residues from the surface.

2.3. Surface Roughness Measurements

Surface roughness of the acrylic resin specimens was measured using a profilometer (Surfcorder SE 1700; Kosaka Laboratory Ltd, Kosaka, Japan) accurate to 0.01 μ m with total measurement length of 3.2 mm and 0.5 mm/s. Three readings were made for each specimen, and a mean value was calculated.¹⁸ Surface roughness was standardized at 0.31 ± 0.02 μ m.

2.4. Biofilm assay

Biofilm assays were performed using two reference strains: *C. albicans* ATCC 90028 and *C. glabrata* ATCC 2001 and two clinical isolates of each strain (P01 and P34) and (P11 and P31), respectively. The clinical isolates used were from denture wearers without candidosis. Before the experimental procedures, the identity of all isolates was reconfirmed by CHROMagar® *Candida* test (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI, USA), and carbohydrate assimilation test using Vitek-2 identification system (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France).¹⁹

Prior to each experiment, each *Candida* strain was grown aerobically on Sabouraud Dextrose Agar at 37°C for 18 h. Then it was inoculated in Yeast Nitrogen Base (YNB) broth (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI, USA) supplemented with 50 mM glucose and incubated aerobically at 37 °C overnight in an orbital shaker (model NT 151; Kline Shaker; Nova Tecnica Laboratory, Sao Paulo, Brazil). When cells were in the exponential growth phase, they were harvested and washed twice with 25 mL of phosphate buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.2). After, yeast cells were resuspended in YNB supplemented with 100 mM glucose and the suspensions were optically adjusted to density of 10⁷ cells/mL.

Biofilms were formed on saliva-coated acrylic resin. The saliva was collected during masticatory stimulation with Parafilm M (American Can Co., Greenwich, CT, USA) in an ice-chilled polypropylene tube and clarified by centrifugation at 10 000 g for 5 min at 4°C. The volunteers provided written informed consent previously approved by the Ethics Committee of Piracicaba Dental School (042/2008). For every experiment the saliva sample was collected at the same time of day and the volume limited to 50 mL per collection period, in order to make allowance for the circadian rhythm in saliva composition.²⁰ The supernatant was filtered through a 0.22 μ m membrane filter (Corning, NY, USA) and immediately used.²¹

Under aseptic conditions, each specimen was placed inside a well of a presterilized flat bottomed 24-well tissue culture plate and 1 mL of saliva was added. The plate was incubated for 60 min at 37 °C in an orbital shaker.²²

Saliva coated specimens were transferred to another pre-sterilized flat bottomed 24-well tissue culture plate, and 2 mL of standard yeast cell suspensions $(10^{7}$ cells/mL) were added to each well and incubated under agitation at 37 °C for 1.5 h (adhesion phase) in an orbital shaker. After the adhesion phase, the cell suspensions were aspirated and each specimen was gently washed twice with PBS. Afterwards, 2 mL of YNB medium with 100 mM glucose was added to the control group and a mixture of YNB with 100 mM glucose + FLZ (Sigma-Aldrich Corp, St. Louis., MO, USA) at 2.56 µg/ml concentration was added to the experimental groups.¹⁶

The plates were incubated under agitation at 37 °C for 48 h in an orbital shaker. After the first 24 h of incubation, the medium was aspirated and biofilms were washed twice with PBS followed by addition of 2 mL of medium (control group) or medium with FLZ (experimental group). Then the biofilms were returned to orbital shaker for a further 24 h to be analyzed.

2.4.1. Bioactivity analysis

Biofilm bioactivity was performed by XTT reduction assay as previously described.²³ XTT solution was prepared by dissolving the XTT salt (Sigma-Aldrich Corp, St. Louis., MO, USA) in PBS containing 200 mM of glucose. XTT final concentration was 1mg/mL.²³ The solution was filter-sterilized and stored frozen - 70°C until use. Menadione (Sigma-Aldrich Corp, St. Louis., MO, USA) solution at a 0.4 mM concentration in acetone was prepared immediately before each assay. For each assay, XTT solution was thawed on ice and mixed with Menadione solution to a 20:1 volume ratio.

Specimens with biofilm were gently placed inside another pre-sterilized flat bottomed 24-well tissue culture plate and 2 mL of the XTT solution (PBS+ 200mM glucose-XTT-Menadione) were added to each well. The plates were covered with aluminum foil and incubated in the dark under agitation at 37 °C for 3 h.²³ Thereafter, the solution was centrifuged and 500 mL were transferred to spectrophotometer cuvettes. The bioactivity assay was performed using a spectrophotometer (Beckman Coulter, Indianapolis, IN, USA) and the readings were made at 490 nm. The bioactivity assays were performed in triplicate in three independent experiments on different days.

2.4.2. Biostructure analysis

The specimens with biofilms were gently placed inside pre-sterilized flat bottomed 24-well tissue culture plates and stained using Molecular Probe's Live/Dead *BacLight* viability kits) (Invitrogen-Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA). A kit

comprising SYTO-9 and propidium iodide (PI) was used. STYO-9 is a green fluorescent nucleic acid stain, generally labeling both live and dead microorganisms. PI, in contrast, is a red-fluorescent nucleic acid stain and penetrates only the cells with damaged membranes, thus only the dead microbes are visualized. Biofilms were incubated with SYTO-9 and PI at 30°C for 20 min in the dark before CSLM analyses. The images of stained biofilms were captured using a CSLM system (Leica Microsystems CMS, Mannheim, German).

A series of images were obtained for each position at 1 μ m intervals in the zaxis to obtain a three dimensional view of the biofilms (from substratum to the top of the biofilms). Five representative randomly selected positions from each corner and the middle of the specimens were examined for each specimen, in two independent experiments on different days.

COMSTAT analysis is a software program for quantification of threedimensional biofilm structures. It analyses stacks of images acquired with CLSM. Z -series images of 48h biofilms were collected by CLSM. The z-slices of images were exported to COMSTAT software and analyzed. The parameters analyzed include total biomass, average thickness and black spaces.

2.4.3. Cell morphology analysis

The specimens were placed inside a polypropylene tube containing 3 mL of sterilized PBS. Adherent micro-organisms were removed from the specimens by sonication at 7 W for 30 s.²⁴ Once disaggregated, the cells were centrifuged (3000 rpm), and the pellet was fixed, dehydrated and included for TEM analysis.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with SAS 9.0 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary NC, USA). The variables were bioactivity and biostructure (biomass, thickness and black spaces). The assumptions of equality of variances and normal distribution of errors were tested for each variable, and when violated, the data were transformed as suggested by the software.²⁵ As mean values were not normally distributed, the bioactivity data were transformed by exponentiation $(y^{2.3})$. The comparison between control and experimental group, for each strain, was performed using the Student's t-test. The significant level was fixed at 5%.

Results

C. glabrata ATCC 2001

P11

P31

The bioactivity and biostructure of *C. glabrata* biofilms were not altered by the presence of FLZ (p>0.05) (Table 1-2, Fig. 3). In contrast, a significant reduction in biofilm bioactivity was found for *C. albicans* biofilms (p<0.001) developed in the presence of FLZ. The bioactivity decreased 75% for ATCC 90028 and P01, and 60% for P34 (Table 1).

,		,			
	Bioactivity				
C. albicans	Control	Experimental			
ATCC 90028	3.9±0.1 A	1.0±0.3 B			
P01	3.5±0.3 A	0.9±0.3 B			
P34	3.6±0.2 A	1.4±0.4 B			

Table 1- Bioactivity of *Candida spp* biofilms (means \pm s.d).

Distinct letters represent statistically significant differences between control and experimental groups within each *Candida* strain (t-test; p<0.05).

3.9±0.2 A

3.8±0.2 A

3.9±0.3 A

3.9±0.2 A

3.8±0.1 A

3.9±0.1 A

The biostructure of *C. albicans* ATCC 90028 (p<0.001) and P01 biofilms (p<0.05), was affected by FLZ, increasing their thickness, biomass and the distances between cells ("black spaces"), Table 2. These changes can be observed in CSLM images (Fig 1 and 2), in which live cells are shown in green and dead cells shown in red and are embedded within a matrix of polysaccharides, represented as "black spaces" as no stain for polysaccharides was used. *C. albicans* P34 and *C. glabrata* strains showed no alteration in the biostructure of the biofilms, developed in the presence of FLZ (Table 2 and Fig. 3).

Table 2- Biomass (μ m³/ μ m²), average thickness (μ m), average of black spaces (μ m) of *Candida* spp. biofilms (means ± s.d.).

	Bio	omass	Average Thickness		Black spaces	
C. albicans	Control	Experimental	Control	Experimental	Control	Experimental
ATCC 90028	13.9±1.7 A	22.5±1.5 B	12.9±1.7 A	21.5±1.5 B	7.4±0.9 A	11.7±0.8 B
P01	16.4±3.7 A	19.9±3.3 B	15.4±3.7 A	18.90±3.3 B	8.7±1.8 A	10.4±1.6 B
P34	19.3±4.9 A	18.3±4.6 A	18.3±4.9 A	17.3±4.6 A	10.1±2.5 A	9.6±2.3 A
C. glabrata						
ATCC 2001	14.2±4.6 A	15.7±3.5 A	13.2±4.6 A	14.7±3.5 A	7.6±2.3 A	8.3±1.7 A
P11	16.8±6.0 A	15.4±2.5 A	15.8±6.0 A	14.4±2.5 A	8.9±3.0 A	8.2±1.2 A
P31	13.3±3.6 A	14.8±2.4 A	12.3±3.6 A	13.8±2.4 A	7.1±1.8 A	7.9±1.2 A
Distingt latter		a tatiatically a	investigate di	fforence both		anad

Distinct letters represent statistically significant differences between control and experimental groups within each *Candida* strain. (t-test; p<0.05).



Figure 1 – CLSM 3D images showing observations of structure of *C. albicans* ATCC 90028 - (A) control group, (B) experimental group and *C. albicans* P01 - (C) control group, (D) experimental group.



Figure 2 – CLSM images showing observations of *C. albicans* ATCC 90028 - (A) control group, (B) experimental group and *C albicans* P01 - (C) control group, (D) experimental group. Live cells appear green while dead cells appear red and black spaces (BS).



Figure 3 – CLSM 3D images of structure of *C. albicans* P34 - (A) control group, (B) experimental group; and *C. glabrata* strains: ATCC 2001 – (C) control, (D) experimental group; P11 - (E) control group, (F) experimental group; P31- (G) control group, (H) experimental group.

The effect of FLZ resulted in alterations in the morphology of some cells of *C. albicans* ATCC 90028 and P01. These changes were an increase in the amount of vacuoles and nuclei with deformed shape (Fig. 4). As in the CLSM images, the TEM showed an increase in the cell volume of *C. albicans* ATCC 90028 and P01 developed in the presence of FLZ (Fig 4).



Figure 4 - TEM images showing visualization of morphology of *C. albicans* ATCC 90028: (A) control group, (B) experimental group. It is possible to visualize the nucleus (N) and vacuoles (V).

Discussion

Although, the effect of FLZ on *Candida* biofilms has been extensively investigated in literature,^{12,14,15,26,27} there is little information about biofilms developed in the constant presence of FLZ.^{13,14} The present biofilm growth model simulated *in vivo* conditions in which patients wearing dentures and presenting stomatitis are under FLZ therapy regimen. Despite the FLZ treatment, in some cases, biofilms continued to develop over their dentures. Thus, understanding the behavior of *Candida* spp. biofilm growth under FLZ therapy, may be the key to the development of protective approaches to *Candida*-related diseases.

The bioactivity of *C. glabrata* was not altered by the presence of FLZ. These findings are in contrast with those from Konopka, 2010¹⁴ who used FLZ in the same or higher concentration as used in the present study, and showed that *C. glabrata* biofilms were more sensitive to FLZ than *C. albicans* biofilms. Nevertheless, the present study corroborates other reports, which have demonstrated that *C. glabrata* is naturally more resistant to treatment with FLZ than *C. albicans*.^{10,26,28} The resistance to FLZ acquired by *Candida*, especially *C. glabrata*, has been reported as being involved with the efflux pumps. These pumps are constituted by proteins in the cell membrane that pump the drug out of the cells, reducing the intracellular drug concentration, to a level at which FLZ has no effect on the cell.^{10,29}

The present study showed that the *C. albicans* biofilms developed in the presence of FLZ, at a bioavailable concentration in saliva (2.56 µg/mL), reduced the metabolic activity by 60% for P34 and 75% for ATCC 90028 and P01. The results of this study differ from the findings of Kanopka *et al.*, 2010,¹⁴ who did not find significant reduction in the metabolic activity of *C.albicans* biofilms developed for 48h and then treated with FLZ at concentrations \leq 3.0 µg/mL for another 24h. A previous study, conducted by Chandra *et al.*, 2001,¹³ showed that when using concentrations lower than 64 µg/mL, the *C. albicans* biofilms did not reach a

reduction of 50% in metabolic activity. The factor that may have influenced the lower bioactivity in the experimental group of the present study was the fact the the biofilms were grown in the constant presence of FLZ, while Chandra *et al*, 2001^{13} and Kanopka *et al.*, 2010^{14} first grew the biofilms, and afterwards incubated these biofilms with FLZ. Moreover, the differences found between the studies may be related to the different strains and to the fact that in the present study the experimental group was exposed to a new dose of the drug every 24h, considering that the half-life of FLZ ranges from 27- 37 h.²⁸

The bioactivity of the *C. albicans* biofilms in the presence of FLZ was reduced, but it was important that the biofilm biostructure was also evaluated. This is because, a study showed that it co uld not be assumed that there was a direct relationship between the number of cells and XTT assay, as this ratio is not always constant among the *Candida* species, including *C. albicans*.³⁰ For this reason the present study used CSLM as an auxiliary method of analysis to assist the XTT assay, considering that CSLM allows biofilms to be evaluated with their structures preserved and in three dimensional format. Additionally, COMSTAT software was used, which evaluates the biofilm biostructures numerically.^{31,32}

As regards biostructure, FLZ did not alter the thickness, biomass distance, and black spaces of *C. glabrata* and *C. albicans* P34 biofilms. As mentioned, the *C. glabrata* is naturally more resistant to treatment with FLZ.^{10,26,28} Nevertheless, the fact that the biostructure of *C. albicans* P34 was not changed, although the metabolic activity was reduced by 60%, could be related to ability of *Candida* to reduce its metabolic activity as a protective mechanism in adverse situations,^{10,29}

which, in the this present study was the presence of FLZ. However, *C. albicans* ATCC 90028 and P01 were sensitive to treatment with FLZ, once since they reduced their metabolic activity and in the presence of this antifungal agent their thickness, biomass, and black spaces increased. The increase in the average thickness of biofilms may be related to the increase the black spaces, as these spaces may be occupied by the polysaccharide matrix. The increase in biomass could be associated with the increase in cell volume. In the TEM images were it was observed that the cells developed in the presence of FLZ presented a deformed nucleus and a significant increase in the number of vacuoles. These vacuoles could be correlated to the FLZ action, which inhibits the ergosterol biosynthesis, a component of the plasmatic membrane. With this inhibition, toxic substances that are ergosterol precursors accumulate in the cell, ^{26,29} probably in these vacuoles.

The results showed that the structure of *C. albicans* ATCC 90028 and P01 were altered by FLZ, but this drug was not able to prevent the development of these biofilms. Could these structural alterations be related to a response to the exposure to FLZ resulting in an increase of the virulence of these biofilms? Further studies should be conducted to evaluate this hypothesis considering that the increase in the enzymatic activity is able to lysate the host cells, inducing the clinical aspects of candidosis.³³

Conclusion

The alterations in bioactivity, biostructure and cell morphology as a response to the treatment with fluconazole, at the concentration bioavailable in saliva, depends on the *Candida* spp. strain evaluated.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank FAPESP for the scholarship (2008/03210-8) received by the first author and for the financial support provided for the research (2008/05936-6).

References

1. Perezous LF, Flaitz CM, Goldschmidt ME, Engelmeier RL. Colonization of *Candida* species in denture wearers with emphasis on HIV infection: a literature review. J Prosthet Dent 2005;93(3):288-93.

2. de Repentigny L, Aumont F, Bernard K, Belhumeur P. Characterization of binding of *Candida albicans* to small intestinal mucin and its role in adherence to mucosal epithelial cells. Infect Immun 2000;68(6):3172-9.

3. Leung WK, Dassanayake RS, Yau JY, Jin LJ, Yam WC, Samaranayake LP. Oral colonization, phenotypic, and genotypic profiles of *Candida* species in irradiated, dentate, xerostomic nasopharyngeal carcinoma survivors. J Clin Microbiol 2000;38(6):2219-26.

4. Ramage G, Tomsett K, Wickes BL, Lopez-Ribot JL, Redding SW. Denture stomatitis: a role for *Candida* biofilms. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2004;98(1):53-9.

5. Pereira-Cenci T, Deng DM, Kraneveld EA, Manders EM, Del Bel Cury AA, Ten Cate JM, et al. The effect of Streptococcus mutans and *Candida glabrata* on *Candida albicans* biofilms formed on different surfaces. Arch Oral Biol 2008;53(8):755-64.

6. Lachke SA, Joly S, Daniels K, Soll DR. Phenotypic switching and filamentation in *Candida glabrata*. Microbiology 2002;148(9):2661-74.

7. Spellberg BJ, Filler SG, Edwards JE, Jr. Current treatment strategies for disseminated candidiasis. Clin Infect Dis 2006;42(2):244-51.

8. Mann PA, McNicholas PM, Chau AS, Patel R, Mendrick C, Ullmann AJ, et al. Impact of antifungal prophylaxis on colonization and azole susceptibility of *Candida* species. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2009;53(12):5026-34.

9. Bagg J, Sweeney MP, Lewis MA, Jackson MS, Coleman D, Al MA, et al. High prevalence of non-albicans yeasts and detection of anti-fungal resistance in the oral flora of patients with advanced cancer. Palliat Med 2003;17(6):477-81.

10. Li L, Redding S, Dongari-Bagtzoglou A. *Candida glabrata*: an emerging oral opportunistic pathogen. J Dent Res 2007;86(3):204-15.

11. Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ. Epidemiology of invasive candidiasis: a persistent public health problem. Clin Microbiol Rev 2007;20(1):133-63.

12. Cameron ML, Schell WA, Bruch S, Bartlett JA, Waskin HA, Perfect JR. Correlation of in vitro fluconazole resistance of *Candida* isolates in relation to therapy and symptoms of individuals seropositive for human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1993;37(11):2449-53.

13. Chandra J, Mukherjee PK, Leidich SD, Faddoul FF, Hoyer LL, Douglas LJ, et al. Antifungal resistance of candidal biofilms formed on denture acrylic in vitro. J Dent Res 2001;80(3):903-8.

14. Konopka K, Dorocka-Bobkowska B, Gebremedhin S, Duzgunes N. Susceptibility of *Candida* biofilms to histatin 5 and fluconazole. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 2010;97(4):413-7.

15 Ramage G, Bachmann S, Patterson TF, Wickes BL, Lopez-Ribot JL. Investigation of multidrug efflux pumps in relation to fluconazole resistance in *Candida albicans* biofilms. J Antimicrob Chemother 2002;49(6):973-80.

16. Force RW, Nahata MC. Salivary concentrations of ketoconazole and fluconazole: implications for drug efficacy in oropharyngeal and esophageal candidiasis. Ann Pharmacother 1995;29(1):10-5.

17. Del Bel Cury AA, Rached RN, Ganzarolli SM. Microwave-cured acrylic resins and silicone-gypsum moulding technique. J Oral Rehabil 2001;28(5):433-8.

18. Verran J, Maryan CJ. Retention of Candida albicans on acrylic resin and silicone of different surface topography. J Prosthet Dent 1997;77(5):535-9.

19. Aubertine CL, Rivera M, Rohan SM, Larone DH. Comparative study of the new colorimetric VITEK 2 yeast identification card versus the older fluorometric card and of CHROMagar *Candida* as a source medium with the new card. J Clin Microbiol 2006;44(1):227-8.

20. Aps JK, Martens LC. Review: The physiology of saliva and transfer of drugs into saliva. Forensic Sci Int 2005;150(2-3):119-31.

21. Thein ZM, Samaranayake YH, Samaranayake LP. Characteristics of dual species *Candida* biofilms on denture acrylic surfaces. Arch Oral Biol 2007;52(12):1200-8.

22. Nikawa H, Nishimura H, Makihira S, Hamada T, Sadamori S, Samaranayake LP. Effect of serum concentration on *Candida* biofilm formation on acrylic surfaces. Mycoses 2000;43(3-4):139-43.

23. da Silva WJ, Seneviratne J, Parahitiyawa N, Rosa EA, Samaranayake LP, Del Bel Cury AA. Improvement of XTT assay performance for studies involving *Candida albicans* biofilms. Braz Dent J 2008;19(4):364-9.

24. Aires CP, Del Bel Cury AA, Tenuta LM, Klein MI, Koo H, Duarte S, et al. Effect of starch and sucrose on dental biofilm formation and on root dentine demineralization. Caries Res 2008;42(5):380-6.

25. Box GEP HJ, Hunter WG. Statistics for experimenters: design, innovation, and discovery. 2nd ed: New York, John Wiley and Sons Inc; 2005.

26. Cannon RD, Lamping E, Holmes AR, Niimi K, Baret PV, Keniya MV, et al. Efflux-mediated antifungal drug resistance. Clin Microbiol Rev 2009;22(2):291-321.

27. Chandra J, Kuhn DM, Mukherjee PK, Hoyer LL, McCormick T, Ghannoum MA. Biofilm formation by the fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*: development, architecture, and drug resistance. J Bacteriol 2001;183(18):5385-94.

28. Ellepola AN, Samaranayake LP. Oral candidal infections and antimycotics. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med 2000;11(2):172-98.

29. Niimi M, Firth NA, Cannon RD. Antifungal drug resistance of oral fungi. Odontology 2010;98(1):15-25.

30. Kuhn DM, Balkis M, Chandra J, Mukherjee PK, Ghannoum MA. Uses and limitations of the XTT assay in studies of *Candida* growth and metabolism. J Clin Microbiol 2003 Jan;41(1):506-8.

31. Seneviratne CJ, Silva WJ, Jin LJ, Samaranayake YH, Samaranayake LP. Architectural analysis, viability assessment and growth kinetics of *Candida albicans* and *Candida glabrata* biofilms. Arch Oral Biol 2009;54(11):1052-60.

32. Heydorn A, Nielsen AT, Hentzer M, Sternberg C, Givskov M, Ersboll BK, et al. Quantification of biofilm structures by the novel computer program COMSTAT. Microbiology 2000;146 (10):2395-407.

33. Thiele MC, Carvalho Ade P, Gursky LC, Rosa RT, Samaranayake LP, Rosa EA. The role of candidal histolytic enzymes on denture-induced stomatitis in patients living in retirement homes. Gerodontology 2008;25(4):229-36.

CONCLUSÃO

Dentro das limitações deste estudo, pode-se concluir que as alterações na bioatividade, bioestrura e morfologia celular, como resposta ao tratamento com FLZ, na concentração biodisponível na saliva, depende da cepa de *Candida* spp. avaliada.

REFERÊNCIAS^{*}

1. Akpan A, Morgan R. Oral candidiasis. Postgrad Med J. 2002; 78(922): 455-9.

2. Baillie GS, Douglas LJ. Role of dimorphism in the development of *Candida* albicans biofilms. J Med Microbiol. 1999; 48(7): 671-9.

3. Barbeau J, Séguin J, Goulet JP, de Koninck L, Avon SL, Lalonde B *et al.* Reassessing the presence of *Candida albicans* in denture-related stomatitis. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2003; 95(1): 51-9.

4. Cannon RD, Chaffin WL. Oral colonization by Candida albicans. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med. 1999; 10(3): 359-83

5. Cheng MF, Yang YL, Yao TJ, Lin CY, Liu JS, Tang RB *et al.* Risk factors for fatal candidemia caused by Candida albicans and non-albicans Candida species. BMC Infect Dis. 2005; 5(1): 22-26.

6. Dupont B, Drouhet E. Fluconazole in the management of oropharyngeal candidosis in a predominantly HIV antibody-positive group of patients. J Med Vet Mycol. 1988; 26(1): 67-71.

7. Garcia-Hermoso D, Dromer F, Improvisi L, Provost F, Dupont B. Fluconazole concentrations in saliva from AIDS patients with oropharyngeal candidosis refractory to treatment with fluconazole. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1995; 39(3): 656-60.

8. Jabra-Rizk MA, Ferreira SM, Sabet M, Falkler WA, Merz WG, Meiller TF. Recovery of Candida dubliniensis and other yeasts from human immunodeficiency virus-associated periodontal lesions. J Clin Microbiol. 200; 39(12): 4520-2.

9. Nucci M, Marr KA. Emerging fungal diseases. Clin Infect Dis. 2005; 41(4): 521-6.

^{*} De acordo com a norma da UNICAMP/FOP, baseadas na norma do International Commitee of Medical Journal Editors - Grupo de Vancouver. Abreviatura dos periódicos em conformidade com o Medline

10. Odds FC. Resistance of yeasts to azole-derivative antifungals. J Antimicrob Chemother. 1993; 31(4): 463-71.

11. Procop GW, Roberts GD. Emerging fungal diseases: the importance of the host. Clin Lab Med. 2004; 24(3): 691-719.

12. Socransky SS, Haffajee AD. (1994). Evidence of bacterial etiology: a historical perspective. Periodontol 2000. 1994; (5):7-25.

13. Sweeney MP, Bagg J, Baxter WP, Aitchison TC. Oral disease in terminally ill cancer patients with xerostomia. Oral Oncol. 1998; 34(2): 123-6.

14. Wilkieson C, Samaranayake LP, MacFarlane TW, Lamey PJ, MacKenzie D. Oral candidosis in the elderly in long term hospital care. J Oral Pathol Med. 199; 20(1): 13-6.



Anexo 1 – Certificado de Aprovação do Comitê de Ética em Pesquisa da Faculdade de Odontologia de Piracicaba

Anexo 2 – Figuras



Figura 2. Parafilme utilizado para coleta de saliva estimulada (A), saliva após centrifugação (B), esterilização do sobrenadante da saliva em filtro de membrana (C) e espécimes posicionados em placa de 24 poços de cultura de tecido com saliva para formação da película adquirida (D).



Figura 2. Cultura original das cepas de *Candida* (A), reativação em Agar Sabouraud (B), inóculo em YNB (C), centrifugação do inóculo (D), especrofotometro utilizado para ajuste da concentração de células no inóculo (E), adição do inóculo sobre os espécimes com película adquirida já formada (F), lavagem dos espécimes em PBS para remoção de células não aderidas (G) e espécimes em meio de cultura YNB, grupo controle e grupo experimental (H).



Figura 3. Sal de XTT (A), Menadiona (B), solução incolor de XTT antes da oxidação (c). Solução de XTT após a oxidação (D), transferida para eppendorf (E), após centrifugação (F) e transferida para cubeta de espectrofotômetro (G) para leitura da alteração colorimétrica em espectrofotômetro (H).



Figura 4. Corante "Molecular Probe's Live/Dead *BacLight* viability kits" (A), Microscópio Confocal a Laser (B).





Figura 5. Pellet incluído em resina Dr. Spurr (A), ultrmicrótomo MT2C (B), navalha de diamante realizando cortes de 70 – 90 nm de espessura do pellet incluído resina (C), cortes coletados em grades de cobre (D), grade de cobre posicionada no suporte do MET (E), suporte sendo posicionado no MET (F) e Microscópio Eletrônico de Transmissão EM-900 (G).

Anexo 3 – Comprovante de submissão do artigo

Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Archives of Oral Biology Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: AOB-D-10-00524

Title: Bioactivity, biostructure and morphology of Candida spp. biofilms grown in the presence of Fluconazole

Article Type: Original Paper

Keywords: Biofilms, Candida, Candida albicans, Candida glabrata and Fluconazole

Corresponding Author: Professor Altair A Del Bel Cury,

Corresponding Author's Institution: Department of Prosthodontics and Periodontology, Faculty of Dentistry of Piracicaba – UNICAMP, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil

First Author: Priscila N Gomes, DDS, MSc

Order of Authors: Priscila N Gomes, DDS, MSc; Wander J Silva, DDS, MSc, PhD; Camila C Pousa, Undergraduate student; Eliene A Narvaes, Biologist; Altair A Del Bel Cury, DDS, MSc, PhD

Abstract: Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of Fluconazole (FLZ) on the bioactivity, biostructure and morphology of Candida spp. biofilms formed in the presence of this antifungal agent.

Materials and methods: Specimens were fabricated using water bath poly(methylmethacrylate) resin (PMMA). Salivary pellicles were formed on the PMMA surface, and biofilms of a reference strain and two clinical isolates of Candida albicans (ATCC 90028, PO1, P34) and Candida glabrata (ATCC 2001, P11 and P31) were developed for a period of 48 h. Two groups were formed: control and experimental FLZ at 2.56 μ g/mL, concentration bioavailable in saliva, was added to the medium of the experimental group. The culture mediums of the control and experimental groups were changed at 24 h. The bioactivities of the biofilms were evaluated with XTT reduction colorimetric assay. The biostructure was analyzed by Confocal Scanning Laser Microscopy and the morphology by the Transmission Electron Microscopy. The data were analyzed by the independent sample Student's t-test, with significance level set at 5%.

Significance reverse at 3%. Results: The presence of FLZ decreased the bioactivity of all C. albicans biofilms (p<0.001), however, it did not change the structure and morphology of P34. As regards C. glabrata biofilm bioactivity and biostructure, no statistically significant differences were found between control and experimental groups for biofilms of all strains. Conclusion: The alterations in bioactivity, biostructure and cell morphology in response to the

Conclusion: The alterations in bioactivity, biostructure and cell morphology in response to the treatment with fluconazole, at the bioavailable concentration present in saliva, depends on the Candida spp. strain.

Anexo 4 – Análise Estatística

0bs	tipo	especie	grupo	biomassa	espessura	bspace	xtt	trans
1	ATCC	atcc alb	com ant	20,0000	19	10,4998	0.7630	0.5368
2	ATCC	atcc_alb	com_ant	21 0000	20	10 9998	1 1326	1 3316
2	ATCC	atoc_alb	com_ant	24 0000	23	12 /008	1 2170	1 5736
4	ATCC	atcc_alb	com_ant	21 0000	20	11 /008	0 7077	0 59/6
4	ATCC	atcc_aib	com_ant	21.9999	21	11 4990	0.7977	0.3940
5	ATCC	atco_aib		21.9999	21	11.4997	0.0590	0.3840
7	ATCC	atco_alb	com_ant	22.0000	21	11 0008	1 0007	1 2210
<i>'</i>	ATCC	atco_aib		23.0000	22	11.9998	1.0907	0.4105
0	ATCC	atcc_aib		22.0000	21	10,0000	0.0150	2.4105
10	ATCC	atco_aib		23.0000	24	12.9990	0.0159	0.0203
10	ATCC	atcc_aib	com_ant	15 0000	20	7 0000	2 0010	
10	ATCC	atco_aib	sem_ant	13.0000	14	6 0000	3.9010	23.9964
12	ATCC	atco_alb	sem_ant	13,0000	12	6 0000	3 0919	22.0009
14	ATCC	atco_alb	sem_ant	13,0000	12	6 0000	3 9716	20.9904
15	ATCC	atco_alb	sem_ant	13.0000	12	6 0000	3 6055	22.4902
10	ATCC	atco_alb	sem_ant	18,0000	12	0.9999	3 9716	20.2130
17	ATCC	atcc_aib	sem_ant	14 0000	12	7 4000	3 9501	22.4902
10	ATCC	atco_aib	sem_ant	15 0000	13	7.4999	3.6591	22.0010
10	ATCC	atco_aib	sem_ant	13.0000	14	6 0000	4 1600	22.0010
19	ATCC	atco_aib	sem_ant	12.0000	12	6 4000	4.1002	20.5456
20	ATCC	atec_aip	sem_ant	12.0000	11	10 0009	. 7640	
21	ATCC	atec_gia		21.0000	20	0.0000	3.7042	21.0000
22	ATCC	atcc_gia		19.0000	10	9.9999	3.7042	21.0000
23	ATCC	atcc_gia		18.0000	17	9.4999	3.0011	22.3361
24	ATCC	atec_gia		18.0000	17	9.4999	4.0131	24.4343
25	ATCC	atcc_gia	com_ant	18.0000	17	9.4999	4.1892	20.9713
20	ATCC	atcc_gia	com_ant	13.0000	12	6.9999	4.4902	31.0381
27	ATCC	atcc_gia		12.0000	10	0.4999 7.4000	3.7003	21.1150
20	ATCC	atec_gia		14.0000	10	7.4999	3.8032	22.3601
29	ATCC	atcc_gia	com_ant	13.0000	12	6.9999	3.8032	22.3801
30	ATCC	atcc_gia		18,0000	10	5.9999		
30	ATCC	atcc_gia	sem_ant	18.0000	17	9.4999	3.8011	22.3381
32	ATCC	atcc_gia	sem_ant	18.0000	17	9.4999	3.7642	21.0886
33	ATCC	atec_gia	sem_ant	21.0000	20	10.9998	3.7042	21.0880
34	ATCC	atcc_gia	sem_ant	18.0000	10	8.4999 0.4006	4.0131	24.4343
30	ATCC	atec_gia	sem_ant	12 0000	10	9.4990	4.1692	20.9713
30	ATCC	atoc_yia	sem_ant	10.0000	12	0.9999 E 4000	4.1092	20.9713
37	ATCC	atcc_gia	sem_ant	10.0000	9	5.4999	3.8032	22.3001
30	ATCC	atec_gia	sem_ant	8.0000	7	4.4999	3.8032	22.3001
40	ATCC	atoc_yia	sem_ant	11 0000	10	4.9999	3.7003	21.1150
40	ATCC Teolodo		sem_ant	20,0060	10	10 0054		. 4000
41	Isolado			17 0055	20	0 4017	1 2202	1 6290
42	ISUIAUU			17.9955	17	9.4917	0.0212	0.0300
43	Isolado	PUT PO1		10 0742	22	10,4606	0.9313	0.8490
44	Isolado			19.9743	19	10.4000	0.0000	0.4193
45	Isolado	PO1	com_ant	23.9000	20	11 4002	0.3293	0.0778
40	Isolado	PO1		22.0000	21	11.4996	1 4536	0.4499
47 70	Isolado	PO1	com_ant	17 0000	16	8 0000	0 9760	2.0009
40	Isolado	PO1	com_ant	17.0000	16	0.9999	1 00709	1 0651
49 50	Isolado	PO1	com_ant	14 0000	13	7 4000	1.0270	1.0051
51	Isolado	PO1	com_ant	26,0000	25	13 4008	3 3559	16 1031
52	Isolado	PO1	sem_ant	15 0008	15	8 /000	3 7563	20 0860
52	Teolado	PO1	som ant	17 0000	16	8 0000	3 1475	13 0740
53	Isolado	PO1	sem_ant	16.0000	10	8,9999	3 5651	19 6109
55	Isolado	PO1	sem_ant	16.0000	15	0.4999	3 3001	16 5640
55	Isolado	PO1	sem_ant	14 0000	13	7 4000	3 1672	14 1760
57	Isolado	PO1	sem_ant	12 0000	11	6 4000	3 6772	10 09/3
57	Isolado		sem_ant	16.0000	15	0.4999	3.0772	19.9043
50	ISOIAUO		sem_allt	16 0000	10	0.4999 0.4000	3.3/02	10 1560
60	Isolado	PO1	som ant	15 0000	10	7 0000	0.0022	10.4000
61	Isolado	D11	oom ont	17 0000	14	1.3333	3 9205	
62	Isolado	P11		14 0000	10	0.9999 7 /000	0.0290	21.9395
62	Isolado	D11		15 0000	1/	7 0000	3 05/5	23 6017
64	ISOIAUU	стт D11		17 0000	14	8 0000	3 8303	20.0217
04	TSOTANO	E I I	com_ant	17.0000	10	0.3333	0.0092	22.00/5

65	Isolado	P11	com ant	16.0000	15	8.4999	3.7423	20.8074
66	Isolado	P11	com_ant	13.0000	12	6,9999	3,6631	19.8085
67	Isolado	P11	com ant	20.0000	19	10.4998	3.7368	20.7372
68	Isolado	P11	com ant	13.0000	12	6.9999	3.8337	21.9949
69	Isolado	P11	com ant	12.0000	11	6.4999	3,8337	21,9949
70	Isolado	P11	com ant	17.0000	16	8,9999		
71	Isolado	P11	sem ant	16,0000	15	8,4999	3.9545	23.6217
72	Isolado	P11	sem ant	14 0000	13	7 4999	4 1305	26 1100
73	obeloel	D11	som ant	12 0000	11	6 /000	3 7326	20.6836
74	Isolado	D11	som ant	10 0000	9	5 /000	3 7423	20.0000
75	Isolado	P11	sem ant	7 0000	6	3 9999	3 6631	19 8085
76	Isolado	D11	som ant	24 0000	23	12 4008	3 6631	10 9095
70	Isolado	D11	sem_ant	24.0000	23	12.4990	3 7369	20 7372
70	Isolado	P11	sem_ant	24.0000	20	10 4009	2 0227	20.7572
70	ISOIAUO		sem_ant	20.0000	19	11 4000	3.6337	21.9949
79	ISOIAUO	PII D11	sem_ant	22.0000	∠ I 10	11.4996	3.5907	18.9190
01	ISOIAUO	PII D21	sem_ant	19.0000	10	9.9999		
01	ISOIAdo	P31		10.0000	10	5.9999	3.9545	23.0217
82	Isolado	P31	com_ant	12.0000	11	6.4999	3.9545	23.6217
83	Isolado	P31	com_ant	15.0000	14	7.9999	3.9545	23.6217
84	Isolado	P31	com_ant	12.0000	11	6.4999	3.9642	23.7552
85	Isolado	P31	com_ant	17.0000	16	8.9999	3.9642	23.7552
86	Isolado	P31	com_ant	17.0000	16	8.9999	4.1403	26.2527
87	Isolado	P31	com_ant	14.0000	13	7.4999	3.7368	20.7372
88	Isolado	P31	com_ant	17.0000	16	8.9999	3.7368	20.7372
89	Isolado	P31	com_ant	17.0000	16	8.9999	3.8337	21.9949
90	Isolado	P31	com_ant	16.0000	15	8.4999	•	•
91	Isolado	P31	sem_ant	10.0000	9	5.4999	3.9545	23.6217
92	Isolado	P31	sem_ant	9.0000	8	4.9999	4.4316	30.6965
93	Isolado	P31	sem_ant	14.0000	13	7.4999	3.8295	21.9395
94	Isolado	P31	sem_ant	11.0000	10	5.9999	3.7423	20.8074
95	Isolado	P31	sem_ant	9.0000	8	4.9999	4.1403	26.2527
96	Isolado	P31	sem_ant	14.0000	13	7.4999	3.5962	18.9863
97	Isolado	P31	sem_ant	14.0000	13	7.4999	3.7368	20.7372
98	Isolado	P31	sem_ant	20.0000	19	10.4998	3.7368	20.7372
99	Isolado	P31	sem_ant	16.0000	15	8.4999	3.8337	21.9949
100	Isolado	P31	sem_ant	16.0000	15	8.4999		
101	Isolado	P34	com_ant	22.9999	22	11.9996	1.7725	3.7303
102	Isolado	P34	com ant	16.9998	16	8.9995	1.4990	2.5371
103	Isolado	P34	com_ant	15.0000	14	7.9999	1.4367	2.3011
104	Isolado	P34	com [_] ant	14.0000	13	7.4999	1.6500	3.1638
105	Isolado	P34	com [_] ant	11.0000	10	5.9999	0.6356	0.3526
106	Isolado	P34	com [_] ant	24.0000	23	12.4998	1.1362	1.3414
107	Isolado	P34	com [_] ant	23.0000	22	11.9998	1.7851	3.7916
108	Isolado	P34	com_ant	23.0000	22	11.9998	1.5431	2.7121
109	Isolado	P34	com_ant	18.0000	17	9.4999	1.1795	1.4619
110	Isolado	P34	com_ant	15.0000	14	7.9999		
111	Isolado	P34	sem_ant	13,0000	12	6,9999	3,9926	24,1484
112	Isolado	P34	sem_ant	14.9999	14	7,9998	3.3236	15.8380
113	Isolado	P34	sem_ant	18.9999	18	9.9998	3.8677	22.4461
114	Isolado	P34	sem ant	18.0000	17	9,4999	3.6231	19.3146
115	Isolado	P34	sem ant	12,9999	12	6,9999	3,2921	15.4949
116	Isolado	P34	sem ant	18,0000	17	9,4999	3.5139	18,0018
117	Isolado	P34	sem ant	23.0000	22	11,9998	3.7563	20.9869
118	Isolado	P34	sem ant	28,0000	27	14,4998	3,6102	19,1568
119	Isolado	P34	sem ant	23,0000	22	11,9998	3.5011	17,8513
120	Isolado	P34	sem ant	23 0000	22	11 9998	010011	1,10010
120	ISUIAUU	1.04	Jem_ant	20.0000	22	11.3330	•	•

tipo=ATCC especie=atcc_alb grupo=com_ant

Variable	Mean	Std Dev	Ν	Variation
ffffffffff	ſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſ	ſſſſſſſſſſſ	ffffff	ffffffffffff
biomassa	22.5	1.5	10	6.7
espessura	21.5	1.5	10	7.0
bspace	11.7	0.8	10	6.4
xtt	1.0	0.3	9	27.3
xtt_trans	1.0	0.7	9	64.0
fffffffffff	ſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſ	ſſſſſſſſſſſ	ffffff	ffffffffffff

tipo=ATCC especie=atcc_alb grupo=sem_ant

Variable	Mean	Std Dev	N	Variation
ffffffffff	ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	fffffffffff	ffffff	fffffffffff
biomassa	13.9	1.7	10	12.4
espessura	12.9	1.7	10	13.4
bspace	7.4	0.9	10	11.6
xtt	3.9	0.1	9	3.3
xtt_trans	23.0	1.7	9	7.6
ffffffffff	ſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſ	<i>ffffffffffff</i>	ffffff	fffffffffff

tipo=ATCC especie=atcc_gla grupo=com_ant						
Variable	Mean	Std Dev	Ν	Variation		
ffffffffff	ſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſ	fffffffffff	ffffff	ffffffffffff		
biomassa	15.7	3.5	10	22.1		
espessura	14.7	3.5	10	23.6		
bspace	8.3	1.7	10	20.8		
xtt	3.9	0.2	9	6.2		
xtt_trans	23.7	3.5	9	14.9		
ffffffffff	ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	ffffffffff	fffff	ffffffffffff		

tipo=ATCC especie=atcc_gla grupo=sem_ant					
Variable	Mean	Std Dev	Ν	Variation	
fffffffffff.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		fffff	ffffffffffff	
biomassa	14.2	4.6	10	32.2	
espessura	13.2	4.6	10	34.6	
bspace	7.6	2.3	10	30.0	
xtt	3.9	0.2	9	4.4	
xtt_trans	23.2	2.4	9	10.3	
ffffffffffff.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		fffff	ſſſſſſſſſſſſ	

tipo=Isolado especie=P01 grupo=com_ant

Variable	Mean	Std Dev	Ν	Variation
ffffffffff	ſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſ	fffffffffff	ffffff	, fffffffffffffff
biomassa	19.9	3.3	10	16.5
espessura	18.9	3.3	10	17.4
bspace	10.4	1.6	10	15.6
xtt	0.9	0.3	9	37.8
xtt_trans	0.9	0.7	9	80.1
ffffffffff	ſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſ	fffffffffff	ffffff	, fffffffffffffff

tipo=Isolado especie=P01 grupo=sem_ant

Variable	Mean	Std Dev	Ν	Variation
fffffffff	ſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſ	fffffffffff	ffffff	fffffffffffff
biomassa	16.4	3.7	10	22.3
espessura	15.4	3.7	10	23.8
bspace	8.7	1.8	10	21.0
xtt	3.5	0.3	9	7.8
xtt_trans	18.1	3.3	9	18.0
fffffffff	, <i>fffffffffffffffffffffffff</i>	ſſſſſſſſſſſ	ffffff	ffffffffffff

tipo=Isolado especie=P11 grupo=com_ant

Variable	Mean	Std Dev	Ν	Variation
fffffffffff	ſ <i>ſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſ</i>	fffffffffff	ffffff	, fffffffffffffff
biomassa	15.4	2.5	10	16.0
espessura	14.4	2.5	10	17.1
bspace	8.2	1.2	10	15.0
xtt	3.8	0.1	9	3.6
xtt_trans	22.1	1.8	9	8.3
fffffffffff	ſ <i>ſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſ</i>	fffffffffff	ffffff	, fffffffffffffff

tipo=Isolado especie=P11 grupo=sem_ant

Variable	Mean	Std Dev	Ν	Variation
ffffffffffff	ſſſſſſſſſſſſſſ	ffffffffffff	ffffff	<i>fffffffffffff</i>
biomassa	16.8	6.0	10	35.5
espessura	15.8	6.0	10	37.7
bspace	8.9	3.0	10	33.5
xtt	3.8	0.2	9	4.4
xtt_trans	21.4	2.2	9	10.5
fffffffffffff	ſſſſſſſſſſſſſſ	ffffffffffff	ffffff	<i>fffffffffffff</i>

tipo=Isolado especie=P31 grupo=com_ant

Variable	Mean	Std Dev	Ν	Variation
ffffffffff	fffffffffffffffffffff	fffffffffff	ffffff	fffffffffffff
biomassa	14.8	2.4	10	16.2
espessura	13.8	2.4	10	17.4
bspace	7.9	1.2	10	15.2
xtt	3.9	0.1	9	3.3
xtt_trans	23.1	1.7	9	7.5
ffffffffff	fffffffffffffffffffff	fffffffffff	ffffff	<i>fffffffffffff</i>

tipo=Isolado especie=P31 grupo=sem_ant

Variable	Mean	Std Dev	Ν	Variation
ffffffffff	ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	ſſſſſſſſſſſ	ſ	ffffffffffffff
biomassa	13.3	3.6	10	26.8
espessura	12.3	3.6	10	28.9
bspace	7.1	1.8	10	24.9
xtt	3.9	0.3	9	6.6
xtt_trans	22.9	3.6	9	15.7
ffffffffff	ſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſ	ſſſſſſſſſſſ	fffffff	, ffffffffffffff

tipo=Isolado especie=P34 grupo=com_ant

Variable	Mean	Std Dev	Ν	Variation
fffffffff		ſſſſſſſſſſſ	ffffff	ffffffffffff
biomassa	18.3	4.6	10	25.4
espessura	17.3	4.6	10	26.8
bspace	9.6	2.3	10	24.1
xtt	1.4	0.4	9	26.2
xtt_trans	2.4	1.2	9	48.4
ffffffffff	ſ <i>ſſſſſſſſſſſſſſſ</i>	fffffffffff	ffffff	fffffffffffff

tipo=Isolado especie=P34 grupo=sem_ant

Variable	Mean	Std Dev	Ν	Variation
fffffffffff	fffffffffffffffff	ſſſſſſſſſſſ	fffffff	
biomassa	19.3	4.9	10	25.5
espessura	18.3	4.9	10	26.9
bspace	10.1	2.5	10	24.3
xtt	3.6	0.2	9	6.5
xtt_trans	19.2	2.9	9	15.0
fffffffffffff	fffffffffffffffff	ſſſſſſſſſſſ	fffffff	

T-TEST FOR THE MEANS OF BIOMASSA WITHIN GRUPO

----- <u>ESPECIE = P01</u> -----

Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant	10	19.89174	3.275	1.0357
sem_ant	10	16.39998	3.6576	1.1566

Hypothesis Test

Null hypothes	is: Mean 1	- Mean 2	= 0
Alternative:	Mean 1	- Mean 2	^= 0
If Variances Are	t statistic	Df	Pr > t
Equal	2.249	18	0.0373
Not Equal	2.249	17.78	0.0374

------ <u>ESPECIE = P11</u> ------

Sample Statistics

com_ant 10	15.4	2.4585	0.7775

Hypothesis Test

Null hypothe:	sis: Mean 1	- Mean 2	= 0
Alternative:	Mean 1	- Mean 2	^= 0
If Variances Are	t statistic	Df	Pr > t
Equal	-0.687	18	0.5010
Not Equal	-0.687	11.98	0.5053

----- <u>ESPECIE = P31</u> -----

Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant	10	14.8	2.3944	0.7572
sem_ant	10	13.3	3.5606	1.126

Hypothesis Test

Null hypothes	is: Mean 1	- Mean 2	= 0
Alternative:	Mean 1	- Mean 2	^= 0
If Variances Are	t statistic	Df	Pr > t
Equal	1.105	18	0.2835
Not Equal	1.105	15.76	0.2855

----- ESPECIE = P34 -----

Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant	10	18.29997	4.644	1.4686
sem ant	10	19.29997	4.9228	1.5567

Hypothesis Test

Null hypothesis:Mean 1 - Mean 2 = 0Alternative:Mean 1 - Mean 2 ^= 0

If Variances Are	t statistic	Df	Pr > t
Equal	-0.467	18	0.6459
Not Equal	-0.467	17.94	0.6459

----- <u>ESPECIE = atcc_alb</u> -----

Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant	10	22.49998	1.5092	0.4773
sem_ant	10	13.9	1.7288	0.5467

Hypothesis Test

Null hypothesis:	Mean 1 - Mean 2 = 0
Alternative:	Mean 1 - Mean 2 ^= 0

If Variances Are	t statistic	Df	Pr > t
Equal	11.850	18	<.0001
Not Equal	11.850	17.68	<.0001

----- <u>ESPECIE = atcc_gla</u> -----Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant	10	15.7	3.4657	1.096
sem_ant	10	14.2	4.5656	1.444

Hypothesis Test

Null hypothesis:	Mean 1 - Mean 2 = 0	
Alternative:	Mean 1 - Mean 2 ^= 0	

If Variances Are	t statistic	Df	Pr > t
Equal	0.828	18	0.4188
Not Equal	0.828	16.79	0.4195

T-TEST FOR THE MEANS OF ESPESSURA WITHIN GRUPO

Sample Statistics

 Group
 N
 Mean
 Std. Dev.
 Std. Error

 com_ant
 10
 18.9
 3.2813
 1.0376

 sem_ant
 10
 15.4
 3.6576
 1.1566

Hypothesis Test

Null hypothes	is: Mean 1	- Mean 2	= 0
Alternative:	Mean 1	- Mean 2	^= 0
If Variances Are	t statistic	Df	Pr > t
Equal	2.252	18	0.0370
Not Equal	2.252	17.79	0.0372

------ <u>ESPECIE = P11</u> ------

Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant	10	14.4	2.4585	0.7775
sem_ant	10	15.8	5.9591	1.8844

Hypothesis Test

Null hypothesis:	Mean 1 -	Mean 2 =	0
Alternative:	Mean 1 -	Mean 2 ^=	0

If Variances Are	t statistic	Df	Pr > t
Equal	-0.687	18	0.5010
Not Equal	-0.687	11.98	0.5053

------ <u>ESPECIE = P31</u> ------

Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant	10	13.8	2.3944	0.7572
sem_ant	10	12.3	3.5606	1.126

Hypothesis Test

Null hypothesis:	Mean	1	-	Mean	2	=	0
Alternative:	Mean	1	_	Mean	2	^=	0

If Variances Are	t statistic	Df	Pr > t
Equal	1.105	18	0.2835
Not Equal	1.105	15.76	0.2855

----- <u>ESPECIE = P34</u> -----

Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant	10	17.3	4.644	1.4686
sem_ant	10	18.3	4.9227	1.5567

Hypothesis Test

Null hypothes	sis: Mean 1	- Mean 2 =	0
Alternative:	Mean 1	- Mean 2 ^	= 0
If Variances Are	t statistic	Df	Pr > t
Equal	-0.467	18	0.6459
Not Equal	-0.467	17.94	0.6459

----- <u>ESPECIE = atcc_alb</u> -----

Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant	10	21.5	1.5092	0.4773
sem_ant	10	12.9	1.7288	0.5467

Hypothesis Test

Null hypothes	sis: Mean 1	- Mean 2 =	= 0
Alternative:	Mean 1	- Mean 2 ′	`= 0
If Variances Are	t statistic	Df	Pr > t
Equal	11.850	18	<.0001
Not Equal	11.850	17.68	<.0001

----- <u>ESPECIE = atcc_gla</u> -----Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant sem_ant	10 10 Hy	14.7 13.2 pothesis	3.4657 4.5656 Test	1.096 1.4438

Null hypothes	is: Mean 1	- Mean 2	= 0
Alternative:	Mean 1	- Mean 2	^= 0
If Variances Are	t statistic	Df	Pr > t
Equal	0.828	18	0.4188
Not Equal	0.828	16.79	0.4195

T-TEST FOR THE MEANS OF BSPACE WITHIN GRUPO

------ <u>ESPECIE = P01</u>------

Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant	10	10.43641	1.6301	0.5155
sem_ant	10	8.699868	1.8288	0.5783

Hypothesis Test

Null hypothes Alternative:	is:	Mean 1 Mean 1	- -	Mean Mean	2 2	= ^=	0 0	
If Variances Are	t sta	tistic		Df			Pr > t	
Equal Not Equal	2.: 2.:	242 242		18 17.77			0.0378	

------ <u>ESPECIE = P11</u> ------

Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant	10	8.19987	1.2292	0.3887
sem_ant	10	8.899857	2.9795	0.9422

Hypothesis Test

Null hypothesis:	Mean	1	-	Mean	2	=	0
Alternative:	Mean	1	-	Mean	2	^=	0

If Variances Are	t statistic	Df	Pr > t
Equal	-0.687	18	0.5010
Not Equal	-0.687	11.98	0.5053

----- <u>ESPECIE = P31</u> -----

Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant	10	7.899879	1.1972	0.3786
sem_ant	10	7.149888	1.7803	0.563

Hypothesis Test

N A	ull hy lterna	pothesi tive:	.s :	Mean Mean	1 1	- Mean - Mean	2 2	= ^=	0 0		
If Vari	ances	Are	t stat	tistic) 	Df			Pr	>	t
Equal Not Equ	al		1. ⁻ 1	105 105		18 15.76			0.2	283 285	35 55

----- <u>ESPECIE = P34</u> -----

Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant	10	9.649796	2.3219	0.7343
sem_ant	10	10.14983	2.4613	0.7783

Hypothesis Test

Null hypoth	nesis: Mean 1	- Mean 2	2 = 0
Alternative	e: Mean 1	- Mean 2	$2^{2} = 0$
If Variances Are	t statistic	Df	Pr > t
Equal	-0.467	18	0.6459
Not Equal	-0.467	17.94	0.6459

----- <u>ESPECIE = atcc_alb</u> -----

Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant	10	11.74979	0.7546	0.2386
sem_ant	10	7.449886	0.8644	0.2734

Hypothesis Test

Null hypothesis:	Mean 1 - Mean 2 = 0	
Alternative:	Mean 1 - Mean 2 ^= 0	

If Variances Are	t statistic	Df	Pr > t
Equal	11.850	18	<.0001
Not Equal	11.850	17.68	<.0001

----- <u>ESPECIE = atcc_gla</u> -----

Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant	10	8.349871	1.7328	0.548
sem_ant	10	7.599853	2.2827	0.7219

Hypothesis Test

	Null hy Alterna	/pothesi ative:	is:	Mean Mean	1 - 1 -	Mean Mean	2 2	= ^=	0 0	
If Var	iances	Are	t stat	istic		Df			Pr >	> t
Equal Not Eq	ual		8.0 8.0	328 328		18 16.79			0.41	87 95

T-TEST FOR THE MEANS OF XTT_TRANS WITHIN GRUPO

----- <u>ESPECIE = P01</u>-----

Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant sem_ant	9 9	0.891572 18.09933 Hypothesis	0.7142 3.2576 Test	0.2381 1.0859

Null hypothesis:Mean 1 - Mean 2 = 0Alternative:Mean 1 - Mean 2 ^= 0

If Variances Are	t statistic	Df	Pr > t
Equal	15 470	16	
Equal	-15.479	0 77	< .0001
NOT LYUAL	-13.4/9	0.77	<.0001

------ <u>ESPECIE = P11</u> ------

Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant	9	22.12017	1.8446	0.6149
sem_ant	9	21.38793	2.2359	0.7453

Hypothesis Test

Null hypothes	is: Mean 1	- Mean 2 =	0
Alternative:	Mean 1	- Mean 2 ^=	= 0
If Variances Are	t statistic	Df	Pr > t
Equal	0.758	16	0.4595
Not Equal	0.758	15.44	0.4599

----- <u>ESPECIE = P31</u> -----

Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error		
com_ant	9	23.12191	1.7319	0.5773		
sem_ant	9	22.86371	3.5939	1.198		

Hypothesis Test

Null hypothes	is: Mean	I - Mean	2 = 0
Alternative:	Mean	I - Mean	2 ^= 0
If Variances Are	t statistic	Df	Pr > t
Equal	0.194	16	0.8485
Not Equal	0.194	11.53	0.8494

----- <u>ESPECIE = P34</u> -----

Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant	9	2.37689	1.1513	0.3838
sem_ant	9	19.24875	2.8831	0.961

Hypothesis Test

Null hypothesis:	Mean 1 - Mean 2 = C)
Alternative:	Mean 1 - Mean 2 ^= 0)

If Variances Are	t statistic	Df	Pr > t
Equal	-16.304	16	<.0001
Not Equal	-16.304	10.49	<.0001

----- <u>ESPECIE = atcc_alb</u> -----

Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant	9	1.033703	0.662	0.2207
sem_ant	9	22.96831	1.739	0.5797

Hypothesis Test Null hypothesis: Mean 1 - Mean 2 = 0 Alternative: Mean 1 - Mean 2 ^= 0

If Varianc	es Are	t statistic	Df	Pr > t
Equal		-35.365	16	<.0001
Not Equal		-35.365	10.27	<.0001

----- <u>ESPECIE = atcc_gla</u>-----

Sample Statistics

Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error
com_ant	9	23.71856	3.5324	1.1775
sem ant	9	23.20002	2.3786	0.7929

Hypothesis Test

	Null hy Alterna	/pothesi ative:	s:	Mean Mean	1 - 1 -	Mean Mean	2 2	= ^=	0 0	
If Va	riances	Are	t stat	istic		Df			Pr	> t
Equal Not Eq	qual		0.3 0.3	365 365		16 14.02			0.7 0.7	'197 '203